SEWARD PENINSULA FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

PUBLIC MEETING

VOLUME I

Nome Mini-Convention Center Nome, Alaska March 6, 2017 10:00 a.m.

Members Present:

Louis Green, Chair Brandon Ahmasuk Fred Eningowuk Theodore Katcheak Ronald Kirk Leland Oyoumick Charles Saccheus Elmer Seetot

Regional Council Coordinator - Karen Deatherage

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-227-5312; sahile@gci.net

Email: sahile@gci.net

45 46 47

48

MR. ADKISSON: Good morning. Ken Adkisson, Subsistence Program Manager for Bering Land Bridge National Preserve, Nome.

49 50 Nome.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: That seems to be everybody in the room. Did anybody join on the phone, I heard something.

(No response)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none. I'm going to move forward on the agenda. Which brings us to item number 4, review and adopt the agenda. We have some amendments to include on this agenda. I'm going to ask for a motion on the agenda as written and a second.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{SEETOT}\colon \ \operatorname{Mr}.\ \operatorname{Chair}.\ \operatorname{I}\ \operatorname{move}$ to adopt the agenda.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Elmer, thank you. Is there a second.

MR. KIRK: Second, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Ron. Now we need to add the amendments. Karen, could you kind of state that so people are on the same page.

Thanks.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We have three changes to the agenda. The first one is under old business to remove the revisions to the Draft MOU, the State of Alaska. There won't be a report on that. That document is still in process.

 Under new business we will be adding a U.S. Fish and Wildlife rule sign-on letter. This rule has to do with the non-subsistence take of wildlife on Alaska Wildlife Refuges.

The third item that we're adding is from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Native American and Alaska Native Policies. That presentation will be over the phone today.

Another special note is that the reports on Wildlife Special Action 17-01 will be held tomorrow to allow for the public and any other members that want to speak tonight at the hearing on Wildlife Special Action from 5:30 to 7:30 p.m. here in this building.

43 44

45

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ OYOUMICK: Nominate Louis Green. He seems to know what he's doing. I've never done this, so he knows how to do it more than I do.

46 47 48

MR. SEETOT: I second the motion.

```
Page 10
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 1
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Sounds unanimous to
 4
          All those against same sign.
     me.
 5
 6
                     (No opposing votes)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none. Fred
 9
     Eningowuk is our Vice Chair. We'll move on to the
     nomination for Secretary. The floor is open.
10
11
                     MR. SEETOT: Mr. Chair. I nominate
12
     Brandon Ahmasuk.
13
14
15
                     MR. OYOUMICK: I second that.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are there any other
     nominations.
18
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Nominations are
22
     closed. I'll ask for a vote. All those in favor of
23
     the nomination say aye.
24
25
                     IN UNISON:
26
                                 Aye.
27
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: All those opposed same
2.8
29
     sign.
30
31
                     (No opposing votes)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none. Brandon
34
     Ahmasuk is our new Secretary. Thank you.
35
36
                     This brings us to item number 7 on the
     agenda, which is Council Member reports. We'll go
37
     around the table. Anything to report from your village
38
     or area there. We'll start with Mr. Katcheak.
39
40
41
                     MR. KATCHEAK: I don't have much, but
     one of the things I observed over the last few years
42.
     the moose had increased. So in this meeting I was
43
     going to propose to extend that season from the end of
44
45
     February to end of March.
46
47
                     So otherwise we've been -- we live on
     an island, so we're kind of stuck from October until
48
     January. The canal ice is unusually thin in those
49
50
```

3/6/2017

times and so we limit our hunts only when the crossing is safe to go. We've been doing subsidence hunting less than what we used to do.

3/6/2017

MR. SACCHEUS: We had quite a fall this fall and our freeze-up was late and makes it kind of hard to go up on the crossing Quinhagak River and also the Kwik River where we usually go out there and go hunt when they first freeze up and go hunt caribou and our caribou season was kind of late. We had a lot of rain until the last part of November and that's when it finally freeze up and the hunters that hunt caribou finally went out and they could cross the rivers with their snowmachines and their fourwheelers and they finally got caribou.

Most of the caribou they caught were wild reindeer and right now I think the caribou are kind of on a decline and hopefully they'll -- maybe next year or another year from that I hope the population start to come up and make everybody happy because a lot of time we have a tough time when those caribou don't come down to the Seward Peninsula.

2.8

Anyway, our fishing season was good last summer and we had a real good season. But I think global warming is taking effect on our way of hunting and our berry picking and all that. Up to date we're finally getting normal. We get all that snow and that's when you can go all over the country with snowmachines. Other than that we enjoy the snow.

Thank you.

 MR. SEETOT: Seward Peninsula caribou reports. We were getting caribou at end of December at about 10 miles north of Brevig Mission, but the reindeer herd were mixing with the caribou. The reindeer herder tried to get them in and around Brevig, but due to blizzard conditions during the first week they had them there the caribou took them back up north, so they're about 65 miles in and around the bend of American River. I think that's the closest way we can get caribou.

The Bering Sea was open up to January. Our Port Clarence Bay froze the last part of November. Fishing wasn't very good due to storms that we've been getting in and around our area.

Other than that our wolf population is with the caribou herd in and around Cape Espenberg and Serpentine River area.

That's all I have.

Thank you.

MR. OYOUMICK: Hi, I'm new from Unalakleet and I don't have much in the way of reporting. I do like to bring to mind that there's a letter in the latest Nugget about fish radioactivity tested designed to find nothing. I just want to know if that's a fact. And I'd like also for them to look into the same thing as far as game.

In Unalakleet the weather is the same as these folks because we're close by. We don't get caribou unless they get caribou first.

So thank you.

MR. AHMASUK: Hi, this is Brandon. I think the only concern I heard for here in Nome was the caribou didn't come quite as close as other years. Other than that everything else seemed to be pretty good. We got quite a bit of snow this year.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ron, are you online?

 MR. KIRK: Yeah, I'm online. I'd like to elaborate on what Ted said. Our moose population is growing and we're getting more wolves in Pikmiktalik area. The ice is a problem. We had a late freeze-up. Our ice isn't that thick. I just came from traveling 60 miles and I had to go up on the coastline in some places because the ice was too thin.

Other than that we had a great summer of fishing. But, yeah, I'd like to increase the moose season because our ice conditions is hard to cross a couple of rivers.

That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Ron. Thank you, Council. I haven't really got much to add. I had a somewhat up and down fishing season last summer. Mechanical issues, weather, timing of putting away my

Page 13 fish. It was kind of tough time. The moose season, 1 2 another one of those things where you don't see your moose in your freezer you're a little disappointed. 3 It's a rare item for me and my family. 4 5 6 Anyway, that's all I really have to 7 report at this time. So I guess we can move on in the agenda. That's my Chair's report at this time. 8 9 We'll move on to the public and tribal 10 comment on non-agenda items under item 8. I'd ask 11 anybody to report on board consultation with tribes. 12 13 14 (No comments) 15 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody on the phone. 16 17 (No comments) 18 19 2.0 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none. ANCSA corporations in the room. 21 22 23 (No comments) 2.4 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Nothing in the room. On the phone. 26 27 2.8 (No comments) 29 30 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That moves us to agency comments. We have Fish and Game. Excuse me. 31 32 33 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. No, we're not in agency reports yet. This is just a 34 35 call that we do every day to allow for public and 36 tribal and ANCSA corporations to speak to any nonagenda items. 37 38 39 Thank you. 40 41 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you for 42 clarifying that. I need to make a note on here. hearing nothing from the public or tribal comments, 43 that carries us into item number 9, old business. 44 45 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 46 There are currently no items under old business unless 47 any Council Member would like to bring something up. 48 49 50

3/6/2017

MS. DEATHERAGE: So we have a draft letter. For those of you -- it's not in the meeting book. It's on the back table for the public if you'd like to get a copy. All the Council Members received a copy of this in your supplemental materials if you'd like to refer to it.

This letter was generated by the Kodiak/Aleutians Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and the intent of the letter was to ask the Federal Subsistence Board to ask the Secretary of the Interior to withdraw the rule that was passed several months ago entitled the Non-Subsistence Take of Wildlife on National Wildlife Refuges in Alaska.

2.0

This Council did put in written comments for that rule. Largely the rule dealt with means and methods for taking predators on National Wildlife Service lands in Alaska. Wolves, bears and coyotes. The rule was passed and is currently in regulation.

 This letter asks the Secretary of the Interior to withdraw that rule. The Council at this time has the option of signing on as a Council to this letter.

I want to let you know that the letter has been heavily vetted by our attorneys because, as Council Members and Federal employees, we are not allowed to lobby Congress. Individually you can, but as a group you cannot. So this letter has been written in a manner to protect you as Council members from trying to lobby Congress in any way to withdraw the rule. So it's specifically going to the Secretary, which you are allowed to do.

So if you want to review the letter, it's very simple. It's just asking the Secretary to withdraw the rule and the Secretary does have the option to do that.

Thank you.

I'm open to any questions you might

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Just for the record again could you read what the rule is.

 have.

MS. DEATHERAGE: The Federal regulation is the non-subsistence take of wildlife and public participation in closure procedures on National Wildlife Refuges in Alaska. Again, it deals with multiple means and methods of taking bears and wolves and coyotes on Federal lands, on National Wildlife Refuge lands specifically in Alaska.

3/6/2017

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So you're saying basically it's an encouragement for non-residents?

I'm not following it right.

MS. DEATHERAGE: I'll give you a little background. The ruling was actually in response to the State allowing for means and methods that were very effective in removing predators from National Wildlife Refuge lands. Baiting sows and cubs, using traps and taking wolf pups in dens and things like that.

2.8

 The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has guiding principles and policies where the use of those means and methods were in violation of specifically the guiding principle and policy of theirs to maintain a diversity of wildlife on Federal National Wildlife Refuges. So they put this rule into place to prevent removing predators to augment prey populations or disturbing the natural diversity of wildlife populations on National Wildlife Refuges in Alaska.

So this is the reason why this rule was passed last year. Because there was a conflict with what the State wanted to do on Federal lands and it was in conflict with the mandates of the Federal management for National Wildlife Refuges in Alaska according to Fish and Wildlife Service.

The Park Service by the way also passed a similar rule.

 This Council did put forth written comments and those comments largely talked about -- they were mixed. Some Council Members believed that they had opportunities to take bears and wolves and were satisfied with that. Other Council Members thought that these methods and means might enhance their opportunity to take wolves and bears.

It is a non-subsistence take, so

Page 17 basically it is using a sport hunting license to engage 1 2 in these activities. This does not affect subsistence take of these predators. It is only affecting the non-3 subsistence take under a sport hunting license. 4 5 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So I'm getting that 7 it's a -- the rule in there in place right now engages I'm not following it. 8 or disengages. 9 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 10 The rule right now prohibits the use of those means and 11 So this letter is asking the Secretary for methods. 12 the Interior to lift those prohibitions. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead. 15 16 MR. OYOUMICK: Well, if it's going to 17 cut down on predatory animals and big game will start 18 thriving, it's good, but how do you draw the line. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You're saying remove 21 the rule to allow it to take place on Federal lands. 22 I'm just trying to get it clear so everybody is on the 23 same page here. 24 25 MS. DEATHERAGE: Let me be clear too. 26 Again, it's a sign-on letter asking, as you said, for 27 withdrawal of the rule, but none of these means and 2.8 methods are currently being used. So there's a 29 30 challenge or an issue that they would be proposed and used under State regs. So that was the concern. 31 32 33 Thank you. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GREEN: So, in other words, 36 removing the rule allows for that to take place on Federal lands. 37 38 39 MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair. Yes, it does. 40 41 42 CHAIRMAN GREEN: There we go. Now it's 43 clear. Everybody else on the same page as me? 44 45 (Nods affirmatively) 46 47 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. So do we want to vote on this. A couple of nods around the table. 48 I'm going to ask for a motion for the approval to sign

3/6/2017

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Yeah, some of us have suspected that weirs are basically like a fence and holds back the runs. I've seen that on the Pilgrim with the sockeye. It is a concern by people. I don't know what extent they're speaking to on this. Is there anybody in the room that can....

MR. KATCHEAK: Mr. Chair. I have a thought here. When I observe the fish counts in Pikmiktalik or Nunavulnuk, they have some of that plastic rubber on the one bank of the river to the other bank and it didn't seem to bother unless they were caged or walled, weir, to where you have to handle them by hand. But when I was looking at -- observing the fish counts, they just look at how many fish went through the river using that bright-colored rubber, looked like rubber, extended from one bank to another. It didn't seem to bother the fish at that time.

Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Ted. We've got somebody at the microphone that's going to speak to this.

Thank you, ma'am.

MS. WOODY: I'm Carol Ann Woody. I'm a fisheries biologist now with the Park Service and I've worked in fisheries over 30 years. Weirs, if they aren't run properly, can hold fish back, but they should be run where fish are allowed to pass through in a timely manner so they're not wasting the energy they need for spawning. Especially with chinook and fish that aren't like sockeye where you can count them from towers, it's about the only way you can really get information on them. It's very challenging to get information on chinook and species like that.

They're starting to do studies where you put radio tags in them and you can use genetic markers to study them, but if you're running your weir properly and you handle the fish carefully, you shouldn't be really hurting them or causing them too much distress.

If you do see that, you should probably report it because most biologists should be very well trained in handling animals properly.

MR. OYOUMICK: Do they still use sonar 1 2 counters in that effort? 3 MS. WOODY: In a lot of glacial systems 4 5 they do use sonar and they use something called DIDSON, which is another way of imaging the fish as they go by 6 where they can actually see the different sizes. 7 8 9 But they're also starting to do something called mark/recapture with radio telemetry. 10 They're also using genetics for a way of marking fish 11 and then getting an estimate of the numbers. 12 13 chinook, as you know, we're having trouble with our chinooks and our populations have been declining, so 14 there's just not very many of them and trying to get 15 our hands on them to actually get data has been 16 17 extremely difficult and challenging. 18 19 MR. OYOUMICK: Do you know if the sonar 2.0 has an effect on deterring the fish from entering the river as opposed to going..... 21 22 MS. WOODY: It should not have an 23 It should not have an effect on the fish 24 entering its river. Sometimes fish -- if it's not the 25 right river, because they're using their nose to find 26 their way home, they're sniffing their way and finding 27 the right river to get into, so sometimes they change 2.8 their mind. They'll go, oh, this isn't the right 29 30 river, my home river, so sometimes they'll change their mind and go into the river that is the correct river. 31 32 33 Thank you. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Carol, one more 36 question. 37 38 MS. WOODY: Yes. 39 CHAIRMAN GREEN: You spoke to chinook. 40 Are there any other salmon species in the Pacific that 41 are having the same issue maybe as the chinook using 42 their noses? 43 44 45 MS. WOODY: They all use their noses. 46 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 47 Thank you for that. 48 That's something we suspected a long time ago that if a

49 50

salmon is going up above a weir, going through the

Page 22 weir, it hasn't got the ability to turn around and go 1 2 back down the stream. 3 4 Thank you for that clarification. 5 MS. WOODY: Yeah, the weir should be 6 7 high enough and..... 8 9 REPORTER: Just come on up. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We've got time. 12 13 MS. WOODY: Sorry. So generally with a weir when people are running the weirs, because 14 sometimes fish do want to get out, whoever is running 15 the weir should be able to let the fish out too because 16 you will have fish, oh, this is the wrong river and 17 they'll want to get out. So whoever runs the weir 18 should let those fish out too. They should be able to 19 2.0 go up and down. 21 22 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Would there be data to go along with that? Would they be reporting that? 23 24 25 MS. WOODY: Yes. A good biologist should do that, yes. 26 27 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. 2.8 Leland, 29 that was a great question. 30 31 Thank you, Carol. Karen. 32 33 MS. DEATHERAGE: Through the Chair. We're also having Jennifer Bell, who is with the Alaska 34 Department of Fish and Game, who is going to be 35 36 speaking to the Unalakleet weir. So I think that would be an excellent question to ask her as well because she 37 will know hand's on exactly what occurs at that weir. 38 So she'll be speaking to that at this meeting. 39 40 41 Thank you. 42 43 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Brandon. 44 45 MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. was going to add to that about Jennifer Bell. She does 46 have projects right here in Nome where they have the --47 48 I'm not sure if it's the sonar or whatever, but basically it's a two-part deal where -- I quess the 49

terminology is salmon flushing where they enter but then they leave and go back to whatever river they're wanting to go to.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks for that, Brandon. At this time I'll bring it back to the floor here, item (b) under new business under 10. I'd ask for a motion to approve the annual report unless there's any other comments or questions, concerns.

Go ahead, Elmer.

2.8

 MR. SEETOT: Mr. Chair. Chinook salmon on the Agiapuk River, I think they're rebounding from the last two years anyway up that way. Salmon fallout discussions were pretty heavy blaming or saying that False Pass was the culprit 10 to 20 years ago. Still a big intercept. But if the chinook salmon are going down, which predator is the main culprit that really goes after the king salmon? Is it the salmon shark, is it the sea lions, is it all the marine mammals?

Because with ice going out so fast during the springtime, there is a lot of seals, mixed seals, that go into Port Clarence Bay before they go on their spring migration route and that's a lot of food availability within Port Clarence Bay that keeps the seals there. Who is the main predator for the chinook salmon?

Because in one of these meetings Mr. Saccheus talked about beluga consuming about 11 to 15 salmon per day, so that's a big number. Even though we have a lot of salmon out there, sometimes they're so concentrated in one area that they kind of wipe out the species from one area and then leave these other areas intact.

The other thing is our TEK, if we do argue over these animals or these wildlife resources that we use, they're not going to be there for you. That's what we constantly strive to keep our balance between predators and the wildlife resources that we use in our area and that has been taught or brought to our grandchildren that these customs or traditions are still being given to them so they have a good future with the wildlife resources.

Thank you.

SEWARD PENINSULA REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 3/6/2017 Page 24 Thank you, Elmer. CHAIRMAN GREEN: 1 2 3 MR. KATCHEAK: Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: What I was going to 6 say on that chinook, we've got Carol here, but we're also going to have Jennifer speaking. So that would be 7 a good question to bring back on the predation. 8 9 MR. SEETOT: 10 Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It's a good question. 12 13 Let's just bring it up later when we have both these ladies to ask about that kind of information. 14 15 16 Ted, you had a comment or concern. 17 MR. KATCHEAK: Yes, I have a comment 18 I'd like to add to what Elmer said about chinook and 19 beluga. All these years we live right on the cape and 2.0 have belugas coming and then soon as after the spring 21 they go inside Yukon River Delta and up some of the 22 rivers in our area. So a lot of the chinook is taken 23 by belugas too. 24 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Ted, Elmer. 26 Questions of predation we'll be able to ask those when 27 Jennifer is on with us. 2.8 29 30 Again, I'm asking for a motion to sign 31 on to this letter. 32 33 MR. KATCHEAK: Mr. Chair, I move. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Is there a second. 36 MR. AHMASUK: Mr. Chair, I second. 37 38 39 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Brandon. Those in favor of the motion.... 40 41 42 MR. SEETOT: Motion for discussion. 43 Yeah, that's fine. 44 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 45 MR. SEETOT: Number three, Department 46 of Fish and Game, fisheries staff, I think we've been 47 at them for so long because what we consider a conflict 48

49 50

between State and Federal regulations. Nome really

doesn't have any Federal land and neither does Brevig in our near vicinity, but we're charged with making sure regulations and whatever that is passed by Federal Subsistence Board or by RAC is being carried out.

I have taken part in this discussions where we kind of bash State people because being on the Federal side of it we, Alaska Native people, we're under State and Federal jurisdiction for game regulations and sometimes that's kind of confusing when they say that, oh, we have to align it with State regulations or we have to align with Federal regulations, but I think from my point of view is that at least in the past bashing State personnel because they weren't really pursuing the issue very much or what we thought was that they were just kind of waiting for something else to happen and that's my opinion.

We didn't -- I keep saying we. Our Native people didn't like what was being published or being regulated on wildlife resources and I think that was one of the things that we see in any Regional Advisory Council or any other meeting. The community or people that get us into these positions they think that we're able to fully comply with whatever is on the books and I think that's why we don't see very much public participation.

And then also the State of Alaska has their own rules and regulations, but they apply to pretty much everyone under the State Constitution. So I think that was a big difference in how we expect to see participation from State agencies within our group.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you for that comment, Elmer. I think that MOU that's in here that the Fed and the State is working on might alleviate some of that. To me, it's been frustrating the Department hasn't had anybody here as far as fisheries are concerned in the past. Hopefully that will change in the near future.

I'd like to see everybody on the same page. That's one of my things about participating in any of these things. Sitnasuak Native Corporation, Bering Straits, this Federal RAC. You want to see the

main contributors to this region get to the table and be on the same page in how we go forward because we're not and we haven't been.

Point well taken, Elmer.

MS. KATCHEAK: Can I make a comment to

that?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: You've got to come up here, please. Thank you, Marie.

2.0

2.8

MS. KATCHEAK: Good morning again. This is Marie Katcheak. I greatly agree with the Chairman that everybody should be at the table. All the Native corporations should be at the table. A lot of times when we have meetings and you're the only person chosen for your village to give a report back to your village, it takes months sometimes for them to get on the agenda. Maybe they're not calling any meetings all summer. So, therefore, a lot of the information is five, six months old by the time they're getting it in the village if they're having a public meeting for anybody to put out their concerns.

I think a lot of times when things are being published by both the State and the Federal government they need to make sure each mailbox in the whole region gets it. If they can't attend the meeting, at least they got that information. If the information is taken home and it's sitting in the box in the IRA office or in the city office or whatever place they're coming from, the public is not getting that information.

Then, when the regulations come out, we're all ah, ah, ah, what happened, what was wrong with that, you know. So that attitude's got to change. Everybody needs to be at the table. Everybody that only has one little piece of dry fish in their freezer for the winter, they need to be well known what's going on at these meetings.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Mrs. Katcheak. Is there anybody online to make a comment or have a concern.

Page 27 MR. ENINGOWUK: Good morning. This is 1 2 Fred Eningowuk. I'm joining the meeting through 3 teleconference. I'm in Anchorage, so I'm currently 4 listening in. 5 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can you repeat that. 7 I goofed up and had my mic on. I'm sorry. 8 9 MR. ENINGOWUK: Yeah, this is Fred I wasn't able to make it to the RAC and I'm 10 joining the meeting through teleconference and I don't 11 have the meeting material in front of me, so I'll be 12 listening in and, if need be, comment. 13 14 15 Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Fred, for By the way, you're the new Vice Chair. 18 calling in. 19 20 (Laughter) 21 MR. ENINGOWUK: Is that correct? 22 23 24 (Laughter) 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I wouldn't lie to you, 26 my friend. 27 2.8 29 (Laughter) 30 MR. ENINGOWUK: You probably wouldn't. 31 If I was voted in to be Vice Chair, I'll gladly accept 32 33 that, but if there's somebody else, that's fine. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It was unanimous and 36 it's too late to change your mind. 37 38 (Laughter) 39 40 MR. ENINGOWUK: Okay. 41 42 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you for piping up there. Glad you're on. Again, to sign on to this 43 letter, I'm asking for a motion. Did I already have it? 44 45 I didn't have anything written down. Who do I have? I didn't write them down, that's the problem. 46 47 48 MR. KATCHEAK: I did. 49

Page 28 REPORTER: And Brandon seconded. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN GREEN: And Brandon. There 4 That's right. you qo. 5 6 MR. KATCHEAK: Mr. Chair. I call for 7 the question. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GREEN: The question has been called. All those in favor for the motion say aye. 10 11 IN UNISON: Aye. 12 13 14 CHAIRMAN GREEN: All those again same 15 sign. 16 17 (No opposing votes) 18 19 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none, motion 2.0 Annual report. Excuse me, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Rule sign-on letter is -- no, wait a minute. 21 22 MS. DEATHERAGE: This is the annual 23 24 report. 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was reading out of 26 order there. It is the annual report under item (b) 27 under new business. Sorry. So under item 10, new 2.8 business, item (c), we call for Federal wildlife 29 30 proposals. Do we have anything? 31 32 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, we do. 33 34 CHAIRMAN GREEN: This young lady is 35 going to come up and enlighten us here. Thank you. 36 MS. KLOSTERMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 37 Members of the Council. For the record my name is 38 Megan Klosterman and I'm a wildlife biologist with the 39 Office of Subsistence Management. 40 41 42 For the wildlife call for proposals there actually has not been an official call for 43 Federal wildlife proposals at this time. Due to the 44 45 change in Administration, we're not permitted to publish anything to the Federal Register. However, we 46 are asking that today the Council discuss and develop 47 48 any wildlife proposals that you guys would like to submit. That way we can have them all written and 49

ready to submit as soon as the call for proposals goes out.

Just to clarify this delay of the call for proposals is common procedure during changes in Administration. Once the call is published, the public will still have the typical allotted time to develop and submit proposals.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: What was the deadline?

MS. KLOSTERMAN: There is no deadline at this time because the call has not gone out yet.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks for clearing that up. Anybody have anything they want to bring up to propose? I don't have anything at this time.

2.8

Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm not exactly sure how to word this, but thinking of the concerns of others at the table here with the chinook salmon. Something I didn't hear earlier as one of the governing bodies that has management authority over fish is the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. Is that something that this Council can do, either proposal or sending somebody down to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council to testify against the bycatch?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I have not heard that, but that doesn't say that Karen will give us the answer we want to hear.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Through the Chair, Mr. Ahmasuk. The North Pacific Fishery Council proposals are outside of this process that is being presented to you right now. This process is specifically for subsistence proposals that will be deliberated on by the Federal Subsistence Board. We are currently in a wildlife cycle.

 That said, as far as bycatch issues and the other issue that's been very prominent with the North Pacific Fishery Board is a subsistence seat on that board. Those issues were brought up on a joint letter signed by all the Councils at the all-Councils meeting and was given to the Federal Subsistence Board

for response. We are waiting on a response to that. When that response comes out, the Council will receive it.

The other issue is we just received at the Kodiak meeting a report on bycatch for chinook salmon. Some of you may recall that the bycatch limits for the chinook salmon were lowered. So in some areas they were below that limit. In some areas they were right up against it, but it seems like there has been some improvement in minimizing or lowering the chinook bycatch by these commercial fishing operations, but there's still a long way to go apparently.

I do have reports that I would be happy to send to anybody on the Council who's interested. They're quite large, but I'd be happy to send those reports to you from 2016.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Karen.

2.8

Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm understanding the proposal process, but still does that limit someone from this Council going and testifying? I mean like if we had a joint agreement basically. We put our heads together and a letter what this Council would want to say to testify.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: As you recall, this Council a few years ago put in the first -- what was it called. It was on the bycatch limit of chum salmon at 30,000 and we submitted that Tim Towarak's Federal Subsistence Board. We were the first ones to put it forward. It carried some weight for a while there and then it got -- and all the tribes in the region were doing that.

Do you recall that when we did that with Kawerak or were you on there then? Maybe not.

Anyway, we did it at that level at that time. I'd be interested to find out what we can do from these Councils.

Okay. Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 1 2 I apologize I didn't finish answering your question. The Council is more than welcome to write a letter to 3 the North Pacific Fishery Board. You may go through 4 5 the Federal Subsistence Board if you wish to push that letter through or you may actually write a letter or 6 submit a letter from this Council directly. 7 8 9 Any member of this Council can attend a North Pacific Fishery Board meeting and speak on behalf 10 of the Council if they wish under the letter, but, 11 again, it's not part of the call for proposals here 12 that we're doing, but it's certainly welcome. I have 13 had other Councils that have authored letters to the 14 Fishery Board. 15 16 17 Thanks. 18 19 MR. AHMASUK: Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks for that 21 question, Brandon, and thanks for the answer, Karen. 22 So there's no deadline at this time because there's no 23 action because of the change in Trump on down effect. 24 25 That is correct, Mr. 26 MS. KLOSTERMAN: Chair. 27 2.8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 29 Is there any more 30 discussion to have on this topic by the Council. 31 32 (No comments) 33 34 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody in the room. 35 36 (No comments) 37 On the phone. 38 CHAIRMAN GREEN: 39 40 (No comments) 41 42 Thank you for your CHAIRMAN GREEN: 43 time. 44 45 MS. KLOSTERMAN: Thank you. 46 47 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Alright. We've got 48 two more items under new business that we're not going to cover today that we moved under the amendments to 49 50

tomorrow, right? Not under amendments, but we're not....

2 3 4

1

MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, that's

correct.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So then we'll take up agency reports. Anybody want to have coffee first before they get up here. I'm going to call for a fiveminute break. It's 20 minutes to noon. We've got five minutes. Get your coffee and sit back down. We want to empty the pot before lunch. We're leaving for lunch, right, Karen?

13 14 15

(Off record)

16 17

(On record)

18 19

CHAIRMAN GREEN: This is a short one more thing out of the way.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

2.8

29 30

31

34 35

MR. ADKISSON: Mr. Chair. Council Members. Ken Adkisson, National Park Service. I'll be pretty brief actually. The first thing I wanted to briefly talk about though was some staffing. As some of you may or may not know, our Park Superintendent Jeanette Koelsch has been out for several months now on maternity leave. We have had a couple of acting superintendents standing in for her. She had a baby boy recently and is back and is now back in the office working.

32 33

And then the other really great news for us in Bering Land Bridge is we've added a new employee. Nikki, do you want to come up here for a minute.

36 37 38

(Applause)

39 40

41

42

43

44 45

46 47

MR. ADKISSON: Yeah, we're extremely pleased with this outcome. We hired Nikki Braem as a cultural anthropologist with the Park and she'll be working in subsistence as well as other aspects of cultural resources management and we're really looking forward to having her for her research capabilities and also aspects of like consultation work with our affiliated communities and so forth. So we're very pleased to have her as an addition to our staff.

48 49

The other thing I wanted to briefly mention was you have materials in your book related to the so-called horns and antlers or collection of naturally-occurring discarded wildlife parts and plants for the making and selling of handicrafts. That's been a long-standing problem as you may recall from your other meetings. The Park Service had regulations that prohibited that. It took really several years to get a regulation package together that would legalize what people have been customary and traditionally been doing for years and years.

We finally got a reg package through and the materials in your book I believe say they were to be in effect — it was published originally in January or was going to be to take effect in February, but then it got caught in the Administration change, just like the call for Federal wildlife proposals. So that now is scheduled, we think, to be out at the end of this month. So once that happens, those practices will become perfectly legal.

To find out what's in it, you can just look in your book and see that there's a copy in there of the final regulations. So if you have questions on the basis of that, you can contact us or we can talk about it at the next meeting or something when they're actually in place. Right now they're still pending.

Then the other thing I briefly wanted to mention was just sort of something I think might be of interest to you and that's the results so far of our FX22-06 Federal muskoxen hunt for 2016-17. That's the hunt we manage in the Kuzitrin-Pilgrim drainage.

As you know, hunt management got quite complex after an 804 situation and we had to increase the pool of eligibles and so forth. That's one of the hunts that draws a lot of attention from Nome. One of the things we did was go to a randomized drawing from among all Federally qualified applicants for that hunt. This year we issued two Federal permits for that hunt. The quotas are shared with the State. I believe there's about four. The quotas for that I think is about four bull muskoxen.

Anyway, we had 47 applicants who applied for that hunt. Two were from White Mountain. One was from Teller and the rest were from Nome and

both the selected applicants through the random drawing were from Nome and we've had no reported Federal harvest so far on that hunt, which closes March 15th.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Did you say four?

MR. ADKISSON: I believe that's the quota for that hunt. That's shared with the State and they merged their kind of 22D Kuzitrin hunt with their 22D remainder, which is largely American and Agiapuk, so I think that leaves us with about 11 outstanding permits for that, so we didn't want to risk issuing any more Federal permits.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody got any questions or comments with Ken.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: It sounds like if there will be that comes to mind during lunch or something.

2.8

MR. ADKISSON: I guess one thing I would add, Mr. Chair and Council Members, is that I think maybe Bill Dunker may speak to it later on the State's behalf, but we are in the process of conducting a new census for our population estimate for the Seward Pen muskoxen and we'll be doing comp work shortly and that information will go into making up new harvest quotas or allowable harvest estimates for the 2017-18 hunt.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you, Ken. Welcome aboard, Nikki.

 $\,$ MS. BRAEM: Thank you. It's great to be back home after I think about 15 years maybe now I was in the Interior.

So I'm looking forward to working with

you all.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Yeah, we

45 raised you.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: With that, I think we

Page 35 need to take a lunch break here. What time are we 1 2 coming back, Karen. 3 4 MS. DEATHERAGE: Do what you want. 5 6 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do we want an hour, 7 hour and a half. Too much? I seen you raise your 8 eyebrows. 9 MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair. 10 should be good. We have a few agenda items left for 11 this afternoon, including at 3:00 we'll have Jennifer 12 Bell present information on the Unalakleet Weir. 13 14 15 And then this evening, again, I want to make sure it is announced again on the record that 16 there is a public hearing from 5:30 to 7:30 on Wildlife 17 Special Action 17-01, which deals with moose in Unit 18 22A. We want to encourage the Council Members to 19 2.0 attend that and the reason why is because you will be deliberating on that proposal tomorrow after you hear 21 from Fish and Game and BLM as well as our wildlife 22 biologist here. So 5:30 to 7:30 this evening. 23 24 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can we do it here? 26 MS. DEATHERAGE: The special hearing 27 will be held in this room, yes. 2.8 29 30 Thank you. 31 32 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. And that's 33 moose on 22A. 34 35 MR. OYOUMICK: That's me. 36 CHAIRMAN GREEN: That's Leland. 37 We're off. We're breaking for lunch. 38 39 (Off record) 40 41 42 (On record) 43 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're going to call 44 45 the meeting back to order. It's 1:45. Right on schedule. We're in agency reports, item 11, and I 46 47 think Carol Ann Woody is going to come up and speak to 48 the crowd. 49

If there are any public members out there or tribes that have any comments or questions, on the phone, I don't see anybody in the room, I'd entertain that at this moment.

(No comments)

MS. WOODY: Hello. For the record, I am Carol Ann Woody and I'm with the National Park Service. I'm here today because I just wanted to talk to you a little bit about a Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program proposal that was submitted by myself and a young woman named Laura Aspens, who is a Sea Grant Fellow and who I am mentoring right now.

2.0

2.8

 And you might remember that one of the priority research projects for the Fisheries Research Monitoring Program was to do a fish survey for the Bering Land Bridge National Preserve and so we put in to do that. The reason I'm here now is we've been working to get letters of support for this project. So far we've gotten letters of support from Deering, Shishmaref. We're getting one from the community of Wales and we've also gotten one from Norton Sound Economic Development Corporation. I'm trying to remember everything.

But I'm going to give you just a really brief overview and I'm going to read it just because I'll do a better job. This is from the abstract from our proposal. The title of it is Bering Land Bridge National Preserve Combining Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Scientific Surveys for a Contemporary Baseline.

 Fish are traditional and culturally important food source for residents of the Seward Peninsula and they comprise a significant portion of subsistence harvest. Despite their importance, basic information on fish, including species distributions, their essential habitats, such as where they spawn, where they rear, things like that, and also population characteristics, when do they spawn, how old and how big are they when they spawn, things like that.

This type of information is lacking,

especially for waters in and near the Bering Land Bridge National Preserve. Residents of Wales, Shishmaref, Deering and other communities all harvest fish from waters within or near the Preserve, but basic fisheries information remains undocumented for the Preserve.

Systematic fish and essential fish habitat surveys have never been conducted in this region, yet such surveys are an important basic first step in designing monitoring programs and understanding key aquatic resources for future conservation.

So what I'd like to ask of the RAC and what I'll do later today is I'll print up a little one-pager for you guys to look at and I'll also pull together sort of a template for a potential support letter that you can change however you want. So if you think it's something that you could support as the Regional Advisory Council, that would be marvelous.

 Anyway, that's why I'm here and if you could support something like that, we would greatly appreciate it.

So that's it.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Carol Ann. Council, anybody have questions.

Brandon, go ahead.

 MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Carol, I've got a couple questions. I'm always for documenting basically what the region puts on the table for food everyday, but I'm just curious if you've read -- Kawerak has the salmon/non-salmon project. I think it kind of covers what you're asking. Maybe not in whole or maybe just part of it, but, anyway, I was just wondering if that's something that has been brought to your attention.

MS. WOODY: So we did go through the Kawerak reports and they did a wonderful job and we've been in touch with Julie regarding this because -- and actually we've been chatting because being a fish biologist we have different categorizations for fish

and what people call trout here is different for a fish biologist.

So in the reports that were prepared for Kawerak it's been difficult for me as a fish biologist to figure out exactly what species are being used and whether they're anadromous, if they go to sea or not. So there's a lot of things biologically that are difficult to assess from those reports. The work was very good and it's a great basis to springboard from.

So we're planning to meet with Julie and also I'm planning to talk to the folks at Kawerak to see how we can work together to build on that information and also get some of that -- once we figure out what species exactly are being talked about, get some of that information into the regulatory catalogs because if there's salmon going into some of those systems, it's important to get that information into the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's anadromous waters catalog.

2.8

If there's specific freshwater species, make sure that gets into their freshwater fish database. There's a lot of really basic information that -- for a fish biologist, I need to know if the whitefish is a humpback whitefish instead of if it's just a whitefish. A lot of the species got lumped together and I need to separate those out and better understand what their habits and characteristics are.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ basically I'd like to build on what was done by Kawerak.

MR. KATCHEAK: This is Ted. I think the correct word is a broad whitefish.

MS. WOODY: Broad whitefish.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are there any other questions from the Council for Carol. Good question to ask though, Brandon. That was good. Thanks.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I guess that's it.

MS. WOODY: Okay. And I'm here for

questions and I'm very happy to talk with all of you regarding the different species. We've been chatting about some of the different species that are used locally, which I'm working to understand what people use and identify them from the science side.

 $\label{eq:thm:condition} Thank \ you \ for \ your \ time \ and \ I \ appreciate \ your \ attention.$

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Took the words out of my mouth. I was going to invite them to go talk to you.

MS. WOODY: Yeah, I'm very happy to talk to all of you. Yes, yes.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So where are we,

18 Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead, Karen.

2.8

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Crystal Leonetti is on the phone right now and she is prepared to speak to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Native American Policy and Alaska Native Policy. You all have a copy of that. That was provided as a loose copy. So if everybody could find those documents, it's kind of a thick packet with three holes punched in it. There's also copies on the back table if anybody in the audience is interested in having a copy as well. As soon as everybody gets that in front of them, you can go ahead and ask Crystal to speak to it.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Crystal, you have the floor. This is Louis.

REPORTER: I have a feeling that the phone was cut off.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, it was cut off. I just got an email.

(Pause)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Crystal, are you

online?

MS. LEONETTI: Yeah, I'm here.

4 5

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. You have the

6 floor.

MS. LEONETTI: Awesome. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council Members. My name is Crystal Leonetti. I'm the Alaska Native Affairs Specialist for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and I'm located in Anchorage, Alaska. I'm Yup'ik and my family is from Bristol Bay and I grew up in Anchor Point, Alaska.

You all should have received copies of two documents. One is the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Native American Policy. That policy was signed January of 2015 and that applies across the nation and is specific to our relationship with Federally recognized tribes.

The second document is a draft of the companion policy for Alaska. It's titled the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Alaska Native Relations Policy. The two documents are meant to be used side by side and it's difficult to gain full context of the draft Alaska Policy without the Native American Policy nearby as a reference.

There's two reasons why we needed an Alaska-specific policy as a companion to the Native American Policy. One reason is because of subsistence. Subsistence is a provision in the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, in the Marine Mammal Protection Act, in the Migratory Bird Treaty Act and in the Endangered Species Act. Three of the Acts are specific to Alaska Native peoples and therefore we had a need to describe responsibilities to all employees under these Act.

 The second reason is because we are directed by law to work with Native entities in addition to working with tribal government. There became a need to describe our relationships with Alaska Native organizations and to Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act corporations. I'll call them Alaska Native corporations for short.

Members of Alaska Native organizations

include the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council, the Eskimo Walrus Commission and the regional Native non-profit like Tanana Chiefs Conference, Kawerak Association and Village Council Presidents.

With this draft of the Alaska Native Relations Policy it gives guidance to our employees for their responsibilities and opportunities for relationships to tribes, Alaska Native organizations and Alaska Native corporations. The sections directly correspond to the Native American policy, including an introduction and the following sections.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Crystal.

MS. LEONETTI: Yes?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Let me kind of cut in there. Somebody's got their mute off, those that have called in. Please hit your mute on your phone if you're not speaking.

Okay, Crystal.

2.8

 MS. LEONETTI: Thank you. The sections of the Alaska Policy directly correspond to the Native American Policy. The first one is an introduction. The second section is about sovereignty and government-to-government relations. The third section is about communication and relationships. Four, resource management. Five, culture and religion. Six, law enforcement. Seven, tribal capacity building, assistance and funding. Eight, implementation and monitoring. Nine, scope and limitations. And then there's three exhibits. One is for definitions, one is for overall responsibilities and three is for authority.

This Draft Alaska Native Relations Policy was developed over the last year with a team of culturally and geographically balanced tribal and Alaska Native corporation representatives. The Draft Alaska Native Relations Policy will be published in the Federal Register soon and it will be open for public review.

In anticipation of the public comment period, we are providing it to Regional Advisory Councils for your information. The Tribal Alaskan

Native Corporation consultation phase will be occurring during approximately the same timeframe of the public review.

We're not certain yet when the public comment period will close because that date will be tied to the date of the publication in the Federal Register, but to help in this time we suggest that comments be submitted by April 26, but that date could change if there's a delay in the Federal Register notice process. Comments may be submitted to myself or my colleague Joanne Bryant and I think you have that contact information on the cover of the handout.

2.0

You can also give your verbal feedback now or ask questions and I will take notes and make sure that that's incorporated. We're not asking for Regional Advisory Council actions. We just wanted to provide this to you for your information and encourage subsistence hunters and fishers to read it and provide us with any comments that they may have.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Crystal.

Anybody on the Council here have any questions or comments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none and seeing none, thank you for your report.

MS. LEONETTI: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hi, Tom. Karen.

 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The Council can now continue with the agenda to ask for any reports from ADF&G or the Bureau of Land Management that do not have anything to do with the Wildlife Special Action 17-01 for moose in Unit 22A. The Council can also review its future meeting dates at this time, which I know is at the end of the agenda, but it's an item that you can take care of at this time. So those are the recommendations.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm of the mind to call ADF&G up. I know there's some young fellows here waiting to share some stuff with us.

Bill, you're up.

MR. DUNKER: Good afternoon, everybody. For the record, my name is Bill Dunker. I'm the Unit 22 area biologist based here in Nome with the Department of Fish and Game. I'll just mention a couple of things that we've had in the works here that don't relate to the special action that we'll be talking about tomorrow. I do have some information to present about that, but we'll get to that tomorrow.

For starters, we've had the addition of a few staff members in Region 5. We have re-hired the Western Arctic Herd research biologist based in Kotzebue. His name is Alex Hansen and he recently started his job up in Kotzebue and has been working with the Department now for a couple months getting the ball rolling on all of our caribou SNI activities and whatnot.

2.8

 In the Nome Office, we've added an additional biologist who is here today actually. His name is Warren Hansen. Say hi, Warren. Warren started a couple months ago. He's going to be working as an intensive management biologist to evaluate some of the feasibility of any management activities that we may pursue in the future here.

Some of the projects that we've got lined out for Unit 22 along those lines include a browse survey for Unit 22D. We'll be looking at a browse removal rate to evaluate resource limitation in the area. And then we've also got plans to do a twinning survey and some sort of a calf mortality study, feasibility assessment this coming spring. Most of that work will take place right around peak calving towards the end of May, early June, and the browse work at this point is scheduled to take place in April. Again, that's primarily in Unit 22D.

So it's kind of a big change for us in Region 5. These guys represent the addition of a full-blown research program. Lincoln Parrett, a biologist based out of Fairbanks, is now serving as the research coordinator for the region. We're all really excited

to have these guys around to start looking at some of the questions that we have throughout the region about some of our wildlife resources and we're looking forward to working with them to shed some light on some of those questions.

As I'm sure some of you are aware, we had a Board of Game meeting this year. Myself and the rest of Region 5 met with the Board of Game in early January down in Bethel and I have just a couple of updates about some of the actions that were taken that pertain particularly to Region 5 and more specifically to Unit 22.

So the Board did pass Proposal No. 2. It was to modify the hunt structure for the Western Arctic Herd and the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd. This primarily affected Unit 23 and 26A since the Northern Norton Sound AC had worked with the Department and the Board of Game to establish a registration permit here in Unit 22 during the 2015 regulatory year. But as of this last meeting we've been working with other stakeholders in the region in Units 23 and 26A to roll out a registration caribou permit for those areas, more or less following suit with what we've already done down here in Unit 22.

Some of the other proposals that were discussed included 26 and 27. They lengthened the resident season for moose in the central portion of Unit 22A, so the RM841 hunt area the season dates for that hunt will now be September 1st through September 20th. Along those same lines, the nonresident season in the northern portion was also extended September 1st to September 20th.

The proposal that this RAC submitted, Proposal 28, was carried by the Board of Game with an amendment. The nonresident season in Unit 22 remainder was eliminated; however, they chose to retain the nonresident season in Unit 22E.

A couple things related to brown bears. The spring season for brown bear hunting in Unit 22C was lengthened from May 2 to May 31st to April 1st to May 31st. So we added about a month's worth of opportunity there.

Proposal 31 changed the bag limit for

brown bears in Unit 22B. That was carried by the Board of Game and changed the bag limit for Unit 22B for residents from a one bear per regulatory year bag limit to a two bear per regulatory year bag limit.

Lastly, down in Unit 22A the brown bear hunting season in the southern portion was extended to close June 15th, more or less following suit with the rest of the unit there.

I think the last time I spoke with you guys I had mentioned that we were going to be gearing up to head out and do some moose composition surveys last fall. We actually did succeed. We had the snow conditions and the weather worked out and we were able to do surveys in both 22D in both the Kuzitrin and the Agiapuk River drainage as well as Unit 22E. We also got down to 22A and did a comp survey down there, which will more or less relate to that Wildlife Special Action, so we'll talk about that tomorrow.

2.8

But the comp estimates for Unit 22D remainder indicated that there has been a decline in the bull:cow ration in that particular area. The last survey that we conducted was in 2011, at which time we found 35 bulls per 100 cows. In 2016, we found that that had declined to 23 bulls per 100 cows. So that's certainly a management concern for us at this time and we'll be looking to both yourselves and the Northern Norton Sound AC to come up with some management alternatives that can help to remedy that situation.

Similarly, we did find declines in the bull:cow ratio in the Kuzitrin River as well. We had done a survey in there in 2013. Found 33 bulls per 100 cows and that has declined to 20 bulls per 100 cows. So we'll have to evaluate our harvest rates and quotas for that area and there may be some changes coming down the line here that we'll hopefully work to increase that metric there in the future.

 The positive news would be on the other side of the range there. 22E continues to have high bull:cow ratios. We found 41 bulls per 100 cows when we did it the first time in 2014 and as of last fall it was 38 bulls per 100 cows. So that's the good news on that front there.

I think Ken had mentioned that we are

in the process right now of completing a Seward Peninsula muskox survey. We've got a crew poised, ready and waiting. The weather hasn't really cooperated the last couple of days, but we have a few more days to finish things up and we'll be working to get a new estimate on the population shortly thereafter.

As Ken mentioned, once we wrap things up with the population survey we'll be heading back out again to take a look at the composition of the population and all that will go towards establishing harvest quotas and evaluating the harvestable surplus for the different hunt areas in the region.

2.0

I guess lastly, you know, along the lines of what Ken was talking about with regards to the 2016-2017 muskox hunts, the season will close for those on the 15th of March. As of today, we've taken four out of five in TX095, so the inner Nome area here right around town harvested one out of four for the TX096 hunt.

2.8

We have harvested three out of eight in the TX102 hunt. We are one for one in TX103 up by Teller in 22D southwest and we've harvested one out of 10 in the TX105 hunt in 22B. RX104, the registration hunt up in 22E we've harvested four out of six there and for TX106 in 23 southwest we have yet to receive any reports of harvest in that hunt.

All in all, it's not too late. This isn't very unusual for us. Oftentimes we've seen, particularly with this hunt, a large portion of the harvest can occur late in the season. Everybody kind of remembers I've got to go harvest my muskox and they head out the door and get after it here now that the weather is nicer and good travel conditions, more daylight and things like that. We anticipate to get more reports here in the near future.

 I guess lastly we did have a SNAFU with the Tier II system this year when the permits were issued initially and then rescinded and the scores were recalculated and the permits reissued to the appropriate permit holders. We issued a total of 37 permits for the 2017-18 hunt. I anticipate working closely with Ken to follow suit with what we've done in years past as far as issuing additional permits as

Federal permits to account for things like hunter success and all that kind of stuff.

So aside from getting into the stuff about 22A, which we'll save for tomorrow, I'd be happy to answer any questions you guys might have.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Brandon.

Thanks, Bill.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thanks, Bill. I had a couple questions. With the new regulation for 22B to go two a year for bear, that includes that now they'll be able to sell the hides, claws and skulls from that unit?

2.0

MR. DUNKER: Yeah, that's correct. Bears harvested from an area with a two bear per year bag limit are legal for sale. We've been waiting to hear back.....

MR. AHMASUK: Both of them?

2.8

MR. DUNKER: Both of them, yeah. So even if you only harvest one animal, as long as it comes from a two bear per year area, it's legal for sale. We've been talking back and forth with headquarters staff about, you know, what kind of a system might be put in place to just monitor and keep tabs of that, but as of right now we're still working on it is the best I can tell you right now.

MR. AHMASUK: The second question, 22D moose in Kuzitrin, you noted what I consider a substantial decline in the bull:cow ratio. Is there any data from the Department trying to -- from predators, is it the harvest from hunters or what is it?

 MR. DUNKER: So the last three years or so we have overharvested in that area. We've had a harvest quota of, say, I think it was 30 last year. That represented about a 4 percent harvest rate. In that year we went over by about a full percentage point.

 $$\operatorname{So}$ we've got a couple options that we can take as we move forward here to simply require a

shorter reporting period. You know, it's a three-day reporting period for Unit 22D and by shortening it up we may be better able to kind of hit our mark with the harvest quota and prevent overharvest in any given year. So there are some options and that's where we're kind of looking first. At least for the immediate future.

Like I mentioned, we're going to be doing some browse work in the area to evaluate whether habitat limitations are playing any kind of a role in the trajectory of that population and then that will be kind of the first step in a series of efforts to just better understand what the situation is in 22D.

I guess to answer your question I don't necessarily have the smoking gun in hand, but we are taking steps towards evaluating that and coming up with a better understanding of what may be going on in the area.

MR. AHMASUK: Thanks, Bill. Just one more question. Do you have a business card? And, Warren, is that right, do you have a business card that you can hand out to us?

MR. HANSEN: I don't have mine printed

 yet.

(Laughter)

MR. DUNKER: I've got a couple. I'll see what I can come up with here. Maybe just the one, but I'll be happy to pass it around and certainly if you guys want to get a hold of me, I'm here at the office most days unless we've got good flying weather.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Leland.

MR. OYOUMICK: Do you see any weird things as far as when you cut an animal open? Anybody ever report such a thing?

MR. DUNKER: Could you elaborate a little bit. I guess I'm not quite sure what you're asking.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ OYOUMICK: Like bad livers and such, pussy meat. There's been several reports in

caribou. I didn't know if it's happening in moose.

1 2 3

MR. DUNKER: You know, we certainly at times hear about just those types of things that you mentioned. Old wounds, abscesses that form in the meat. There's a variety of parasites and things like that that can more often than not be found to naturally occur in populations of moose throughout the state. We haven't noticed anything alarming with that respect. Oftentimes it's an older animal that's maybe been around the block and is more susceptible to those kinds of parasites and things of that nature. Like I said, nothing alarming at this point.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I had a question on that 22D remainder and 22E. What's the relationship -- I've heard in the past that there's a relationship between 22D and 22E. I'm wondering if that narrows down to 22D remainder on the population being affected by migration back and forth, Bill.

MR. DUNKER: Yeah, so in the very early '80s some of my predecessors had some collared animals in the area and they identified that there very well may be some sort of movement that occurs between those two areas. So to account for that, when we do moose surveys in those areas, we do them collectively.

 So when we head out, we're planning to do another survey next spring, we'll do 22D and 22E together. The intent there is that any movement that may be occurring should be captured since we're surveying both areas at the same time.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: On that 22E, was it nonresident or non -- I didn't catch that, I was writing. I'm sorry.

 MR. DUNKER: So the proposal that you guys submitted to the Board of Game during the last Board of Game cycle asked to eliminate the nonresident season in both 22D remainder and 22E. The Board adopted that proposal as amended by the Northern Norton Sound Advisory Committee to eliminate the nonresident season in 22D remainder, but it was retained or they chose not to eliminate it in 22E, so that RM855 nonresident moose hunt will take place this coming fall.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: What's the count on that for quota?

MR. DUNKER: The harvest quota for 22E is 13 moose, for the RM855 nonresident hunt. The resident seasons continues as a green harvest ticket general season hunt.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: And there was three nonresident tags there prior, correct?

MR. DUNKER: 22D remainder prior to being eliminated -- excuse me. The nonresident season in 22D remainder had a harvest quota of three antlered bulls with antlers greater than 50 inches or four or more brow tines on one side.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Bill. Somebody at the table here got a question. I think it was Elmer.

2.8

MR. SEETOT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We had a bunch of Interior wildfires during the past year. I think that you mentioned something about migration between regions. Do they tend to stay in the area that they were born, like reindeer and caribou, or do they migrate when something drastic happens like wolf predation or forest fires where they can't feed, what they're specializing in feeding like willow shrubs and the like?

My assumption is that between 22E is that they do have a lot of plants that contain sodium and other minerals that they lack in the Interior or in 22D. Is that one of the reasons that they probably migrate? Because, you know, they smell the food other side of the mountains and then they go for that too, you know.

 In different times of their growing seasons or growing times, do they migrate other places to supplement their diet? That was my question there. Does wildfires get them to move to a different place and then stay there or do they, like reindeer and caribou, go to their birthing grounds when they're ready to have calves?

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{That}}$ part of the moose biology is pretty hard to fathom because I think we've been

along again?

Page 51

dealing with reindeer and caribou for a long time. We pretty much know through their habits and the like. With moose, they're a newcomer to our area. Right now they're saying that there are a lot of moose within the Agiapuk River drainage system when most of our caribou have migrated toward the Kougarok, toward the Serpentine Springs area as of right now, along with the reindeer and along with the wolves.

They're pretty much up on the north side, so we never did see too many wolves this winter. Just from migration from forest fires and stuff like that, they don't go back to the same range that they were accustomed to other than being driven out by forest fires or wolves.

Did I make sense or did I just mumble

MR. DUNKER: No. I think you bring up some interesting points about the differences in the vegetation between 22E and 22D. I guess I honestly don't know the why of those movements. We just have a very basic understanding that they may be occurring as to like the why of that movement. It's hard to say and I don't know the answer to that question.

As I understand it and maybe you can correct me here, but the fires that you're referring to most of those were tundra fires, is that about right?

MR. SEETOT: Those were pretty much Interior fires, trees and stuff, not so much tundra. Not so much within Seward Peninsula, but direct east of Seward Peninsula and those places where trees are pretty much main timber, fire source.

 MR. DUNKER: Sure, sure. Okay. So as far as I understand it, a disturbance event, such as a fire, can oftentimes be very beneficial to a moose population because it will reset the successional clock back to earlier plant communities that may be more nutritional or more palatable for moose. It will take out a lot of the older spruce trees and then what comes up underneath it might be cottonwoods and willows and things like that that are better browse for moose.

I think the Kenai Peninsula is probably a really good example of that where they've got, you

know, historical fires that they can kind of clock through time and 20, 30 years after a fire you really have some pretty phenomenal moose habitat available for those animals.

5 6

1 2

3 4

> CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay, Bill. Thanks. Anybody else from the Council.

7 8 9

MR. ENINGOWUK: Good afternoon. This

10 11 is Fred.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead, Fred.

12 13 14

15

16 17

MR. ENINGOWUK: Good afternoon. had a question on the declining of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd and hunting. On those State regulations currently is nonresidents able to hunt the caribou in 23 other areas in 22?

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

MR. DUNKER: As it stands right now, there is a nonresident season in Unit 22 for caribou. It's on a general season harvest ticket. I don't have it off the top of my head, but I want to say it's September 1st to September 30th season. I could double check on that real quick if need be.

25 26 27

2.8

29

30

31 32

MR. ENINGOWUK: One more question on that. I know that they do surveys on caribou and most of that is being done up north and just wondering if they do count the resident caribou that are in our area. We have caribou that are -- you know, we have them all year round in 22E and I was wondering if those are also counted for purposes of a caribou herd.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46 47

MR. DUNKER: So in years when we've had collared caribou remain on the Seward Peninsula during a year when we've conducted a photo census we have made an effort to account for those animals in the estimate. Generally speaking, the information that I've always received from Jim Dau in years past is that the number of animals present on the Seward Peninsula in any given year is highly variable. Typically it's somewhere around 1,500 animals, but oftentimes when they did observe collared animals that remained on the Seward Peninsula rarely did it exceed two consecutive years. You would have an animal that would hang around on the Peninsula for a summer or two and then eventually it would start to move north with the rest of the herd.

But, like I said, in years when we have had collared animals remain on the Seward Peninsula, we have made an effort to incorporate those animals and the animals associated with them into the estimate of the overall population.

MR. ENINGOWUK: Yes, thank you on that. We've been having caribou all year round maybe the past six years possibly and they're fawning in 22E, Serpentine Hot Springs and other areas.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: No more questions,

13 Fred.

MR. ENINGOWUK: That's it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Fred.

Ted, did you have a question.

MR. KATCHEAK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Bill, I have a question. Do you have any plans to do any survey in Unit 22 remainder?

MR. DUNKER: Through the Chair. I'm assuming you're referring to moose surveys, is that right?

MR. KATCHEAK: Yes, moose surveys.

MR. DUNKER: I'll get into this in greater detail tomorrow, but we were down there just last week. We did a Unit 22A moose survey in the central portion of the hunt area down there. So basically the RM841 hunt area is about what we covered. We hung on to our survey team for a day or so waiting to see if we would get the weather to go down and try and do some sort of minimum count survey in that lower portion of the unit. We unfortunately weren't able to make it happen and had to shift gears and get everybody back to Nome here for various reasons.

Certainly it's something that's still on our radar and I personally would like to get down there and take a look at that country. Perhaps later on this spring or next fall we'd be able to take another look at it, but we did kind of miss our chance this time around. We'll see what the future holds, I quess.

MR. KATCHEAK: Mr. Chair. The reason I posed that question was that I'm in the process of proposing to extend opening season to a later time and I don't really have a accurate information on how many moose in that area and we have a big influx of moose in the southern part of St. Michael, Stebbins. So I was curious if there was a good number count that would help me make a more liberal hunt.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are there any other questions of Bill.

Elmer.

2.0

MR. SEETOT: Not a question, just a comment. I guess bull harvest in Teller and Brevig is pretty much non-existent other than residents of 22C that do come up to Imuruk Basin or up that way to 22D. We do welcome them getting these critters. I know from riding around in the past that moose are heavy prey items for these wolves. Like I said a couple years ago we counted over 20. The Kuzitrin, the Davidson -- I mean the American and the Agiapuk River drainages where wolf kills were pretty much responsible for those moose to be taken down. Over 20. That was within a three-month period.

So I do know that wolf predation on the animals within 22D are pretty heavy, whether it be moose, whether it be muskox or the reindeer. We had about four wolf packs that were going in and around Imuruk Basin the past five years. Their main food was Kakaruk Reindeer Herd in and around Tisuk River and Cape Woolley. Now that the majority of these wolves have been eliminated in and around the western portion of Seward Peninsula between Shishmaref and Brevig we noticed that the animals are there but they're going into other places that provide good habitat or feed or shelter for these animals.

Due to climate change coming around, I think the vegetation is going to change a lot in certain places that do provide feed for these animals. With that in mind, we're ready to adapt to changes within the system. Like I said, we're not really bear harvesting communities of Teller and Brevig, so we kind of rely on outside people to keep our animals in check.

Since our elders have passed on, that type of bear hunting I think kind of passed away about 20 years ago. It's just mostly for DLP purposes. Bear harvest in and around Brevig are pretty much nonexistent other than just trying to keep them away from berry picking areas or plant gathering areas. So the numbers are pretty much in and around mountains or places that our people can't really go to for berry picking and for other plant gathering.

11 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Elmer. Fred, you made a comment on the phone. Is Ron on?

MR. KIRK: Yeah, I'm on.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Do you have any questions or comments.

MR. KIRK: I'd like to make a comment towards Fred's question concerning moose returning to their habitat after a wildfire. I've been fighting wildfires for over 30 years. I fight them in Alaska and I fight wildfires in Washington, Montana, where the elk are and Alaska where the moose is.

 Now during our fire season when we go out there and fight wildfires, the moose tend to move way, but once we can control that fire and we diminish it and we get rid of the flames and the hot ash, the moose and the elk return back to their habitat where they originally were born and where they're used to eating their vegetation. They tend to hang around.

I know that because we encounter them a lot after we put the fire out. We have a big problem with moose and elk trying to get back in their range when we're trying to work on the rest of the fire. So they do return back to where they were born, like reindeer and caribou.

That's the only comment I have, Mr.

44 Chair.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Ron.

Anybody else.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I've got a question, Bill. On all of Unit 22 which areas have two-bear harvests?

MR. DUNKER: Mr. Chair. That would include 22A and now 22B. In both instances, that two bear a year bag limit only applies to the resident bag limit. The nonresident bag limit remains one bear per regulatory year in both those areas.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Any reason for it not being nonresident or nobody pursues it?

2.0

2.8

MR. DUNKER: I can't quite remember what the discussion was because it certainly came up at the Board of Game meeting. I can't put my finger on what the rationale was there.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: What about the rationale behind -- has there been any proposals or anything towards the area 22C including another bear? I know that the harvest was -- the period was moved from May 1st to April 1st. I guess I wanted to know the justification for not mirroring all the other areas like August 1st to May 31st. I was there when there were changes made in the '90s and the harvest time was shortened and I'm wondering why it's not lengthened considering the issues we have with bears around human population.

MR. DUNKER: Mr. Chair, I think you're well aware that just in the last several years we have made additional efforts to liberalize the brown bear regulations in Unit 22C. I think the first step towards that was to lengthen the season to May 1st to May 31st. We changed the bag limit from one bear every four regulatory years to one bear every regulatory year and now, as I mentioned, we've gone from April 1st to May 31st for the spring season.

 This past year 2015 we did see an increase in the number of bears harvested in Unit 22C. On average we harvested about 17 bears per year from 1998 to 2014, somewhere in there. Seventeen bears per year were coming out of 22C. With the changes in the

bag limit and just those initial changes to the season date in 2015 we harvested 30 bears per year out of 22C.

I guess at this point it seems like the liberalizations that have been made up to this point may very well have effectively increased harvest in the area. At this point I think we would hesitate to support any additional liberalizations moving forward until we kind of give this some time to play out and see if this increase that we've seen in the last year equates to a longer term increase in harvest.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you. So, anyway, I'm a lifetime resident here and been around here for over 50 years and I can recall a time when we didn't have bears in the area. There was very few and I'm just kind of wondering what it is that ADF&G wants to turn this into. Is it a tourism issue or is it part of guiding? It's almost like a breeding area at one point in time. I know I've asked that question in the past when I was on the advisory committee. So I just was wondering what the idea is that Fish and Game.....

MR. OYOUMICK: All of the above.

CHAIRMAN GREEN:has in store for what the plans are.

MR. DUNKER: You know, as with anything else, I mean our.....

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I guess the question is maybe I make it more -- what's the ideal bear population per square mile? Is there any -- and does that come into play? I know it did in the past. I guess that's a question. I don't know if you're dealing with that now.

MR. DUNKER: Yeah, that's a tricky one to pin down for sure in terms of what's an ideal density to be shooting for and things like that. It ultimately just depends on, you know, other values and things like that associated with the -- you know, if that's what we're looking for is to -- I don't think the intent here is to establish any kind of a trophy bear population or anything like that. Sustainable harvest is certainly a priority moving forward.

All of our harvest objectives and

things like that are intended to maintain a viable population of bears on the landscape. I don't think anybody would want to see them completely eradicated from the Seward Peninsula or anything like that. So small incremental steps to continue to liberalize bear regulations with an eye towards sustainable harvest is probably maybe the most -- about as concise as I can get in terms of long-term objectives for bear management on the Seward Peninsula.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I know it was a hard question, Bill. You've not been around here very long, so I appreciate your answers.

MR. KATCHEAK: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Ted.

MR. KATCHEAK: One more question for Bill. Are you aware of areas where bears den? Sometime back in 1965 I was talking to Carl Grauvogel, who was area biologist for Fish and Game. He told me that one time that I questioned about the bear population and where they den, he said that there was a denning area south and east of St. Michael. I think it was like 10 or 20 miles 300 bears would den every year. I'm not sure if that's true or not. Are there any dens like that in this area that you're aware of?

MR. DUNKER: Not in terms of any concentrated denning locations like it seems you're referring to. In 2015, we worked cooperatively with the Park Service to do a brown bear survey in the central portion of the Seward Peninsula. One of the things that we were looking for as a part of that survey was dens. It certainly seems like they concentrate that sort of behavior in higher elevation areas.

But we have seen them denning everywhere from on the coast here at lower elevations all the way up into the mountains of the Bendelebens and the Darbys and the Sawtooths as well. So it seems pretty variable. I don't know. I'm not aware of any hot spots for denning activity like you're referring to, but it certainly seems to be pretty varied across the landscape in terms of where they prefer to den.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Clarence.

MR. SACCHEUS: I want to mention about bears I'm worried that we're having in Kwik River and also in Tubutulik River. We've got some -- we call them gypsum (ph) lakes. I'm talking about east of the Darbys. Gypsum lakes. We call them gypsum lakes, call them dog salmon because the fish got big teeth just like dogs and they go up the streams and they start migrating up to the lakes, them three lakes. We've got three lakes almost west of the Kwik River and we've got another one that's called the Moon River, the Kwik River. That's where all the dog salmon will go up too. There's another stream that goes east from Kwik River and that's probably the -- them dog salmon go up that stream and develop a lot of bears up there fall time.

2.8

Not only that, after the bears eat the dog salmon there will be a lot of fish, thousands of them and they mill around there until about last part of November. That's when the fish decline. out and eat the blueberries. They love blueberries too. You could go from Elim to that Quinhagak River around Hot Spring area and south of the Darbys on them hills that kind of slope down toward Quinhagak there's so much bears you could see -- if you stand on this side of Quinhagak River and on the other side, on them slopes, there's so much blueberries you could see the color just like these guys' jackets over there. On top of that you could count bears up on that slope eating. After they eat salmon on the river they go up and they eat blueberries.

In Kwik River same way. There's a lot of blueberries on both sides of the river where them salmon go up and spawn in that river. That's where all the bears -- we've got 316,000 timber up there. Most of the bears when they go to sleep, they all lay on them little creeks that flow east of the Darbys and east of the Quinhagak River and mountains. There's bears in their den on the east side. They don't go on the other side.

 The sun when it rise from the east it comes up and it shines right where the bear dens are in them little creeks. So the bear population east of the Darbys that's kind of critical to our moose population. We get a lot of snow, like we got snow up there now in the east of the Darbys. We've got a lot of snow. This year I'm kind of worried about those moose, cow moose.

They mate during the fall and they get one little calf, sometimes they get three, sometimes two, sometimes one.

When that snow in the daytime when the sun is shining, it shines right on the -- it melts that snow daytime and nighttime, when it gets cold, when the sun set, it gets real cold, that snow gets hard like this, crushed down. The bears can walk on that real easy, but you should see the moose. Like if a cow moose is there in the valley with maybe two calves or three calves, one calf, those bears are -- they could go right up to it on top of that snow and they could kill the mother and three calves. That's how come our population always go down.

2.8

Fish and Wildlife was wondering how come the muskox -- when we brought them up here. They were wondering how come those muskox are increasing and the moose population is declining. So we've got to blame the bears. There's more than one time we watched a herd of muskox when there's green grass growing on the ground. There was little calves. A whole bunch of muskox got little calves.

There will be one bear on south side and one bear on the north side. When they see a bear coming around, all they have to do is make one woof. They just make one sound like that and automatically the little calves stand up and the mothers and the big bulls make a circle automatically. They just automatically the little calves go in the middle. Them bears -- we watched them one time a bear was trying to get in to those little calves. They want to eat a good meal too, but the big bulls and their mothers they keep them bears out.

So the moose population always decline and the muskox population just keep rising. The bears can't eat them. They can't get to the calves. That's how I found out east of the Darbys. There's a lot of bears and hardly any moose.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Clarence. A lesson in Mother Nature. I'll entertain one more question because we're getting close to around 3:00 o'clock when we've got somebody else calling in here.

SEWARD PENINSULA REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL 3/6/2017 Page 61 Anybody else. 1 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 CHAIRMAN GREEN: It doesn't look like Bill, thanks. Just to let you know I wasn't 6 trying to be critical. I've kind of made it, as I've 7 been on this Council, do be -- we like to have Fish and 8 9 Game come and talk to us, so we don't want to beat up on you. That wasn't what I was trying to do. 10 trying to get answers. That's what it's all about here 11 because this is probably one of the only forums that we 12 can do that. So I wasn't trying to beat on you. 13 you on the spot and I was thinking, darn it, I didn't 14 mean it that way. But thank you. 15 16 17 MR. DUNKER: No problem. 18 19 Thanks for having me. 20 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. 21 22 23 (Pause) 24 25 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We have an opportunity before we get a call in here..... 26 27 MS. DEATHERAGE: 2.8 She's here. 29 30 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Oh, excuse me. snuck right in the door. Well, come on up. You're 31 welcome to. 32 33 34 (Pause) 35 36 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I guess people thought I was calling a recess. I just ran for coffee. Go 37 ahead and start. Everybody can hear in here. I think 38 there's people online that are waiting to hear. 39 40 41 MS. BELL: Okay, Mr. Chairman. My name 42 is Jennifer Bell. I'm with Alaska Department of Fish 43 and Game. I'm the research biologist there. I was asked by Karen Hyer and Karen Deatherage to speak about 44 45 Unalakleet River Weir. I appreciate that when salmon come up.... 46 47 48 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I'm sorry.

49 50 realized that Leland is not here. He had a question

about that and you're going to talk about something that he -- I want to make sure that we have Council Members back at the table. Everybody ran away.

3 4

2

MS. DEATHERAGE: Illegitimately.

5 6 7

CHAIRMAN GREEN: They're bad kids.

8

(Laughter)

9 10

11

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I appreciate your Where is Leland? patience.

12 13

(Pause)

14 15 16

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. You have the

floor.

17 18 19

2.0

21

22

23

MS. BELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As I was saying, I'm Jennifer Bell with Alaska Department of Fish and Game. I'm the research biologist for the I was asked to speak about Unalakleet River region. Weir, so that's what I'm going to try and do. I provided a handout that has a few tables on it.

24 25 26

27

2.8

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

39

40

The first table is basically an overview of what happens on Unalakleet River within the Unalakleet River drainage. That includes North River as well as the mainstem. I'm giving you total escapement. There's also harvest and that's within what we consider Unalakleet River fish for a total run and then we estimate exploitation, which is just how much of the harvest is taken as a portion of the total So that's the first graph. I can come back and explain a little bit more of these, but to just give you a quick overview.

37 38

The second table is the subsistence harvest by species within the Unalakleet Subdistrict. So that's everything that comes out by permit that we account for in Unalakleet Subdistrict.

41 42 43

The third table is the commercial harvest by species within the Unalakleet Subdistrict.

45 46 47

44

The fourth table is the age composition that we get from the weir, which I'll speak to in just a few minutes.

48 49

And then I've included a couple pictures of what the weir actually looks like. Figure 1 is a schematic of the weir just because it's really hard to actually picture what this honking piece of equipment is in the river.

3/6/2017

And then you've got Figure 2, a picture from 2010 and that was the first year of the weir. 2016 is the second picture and shows you a couple upgrades. Again, I'll speak to that.

 Figure 4 and 5 are being able to go across the river via the boat gate. Again, that's in 2010. Then we have a couple of that same boat gate in 2016 to kind of show you what we've done to upgrade over the years.

2.8

So that's going to be the handout. We'll talk about that a little bit more as we go along. Specifically the weir is funded through the Office of Subsistence Management through Fish and Wildlife and it's a cooperative project between Fish and Game, Norton Sound Economic Development Corporation, Native Village of Unalakleet.

The land that we have our field camp on is owned by Unalakleet Native Corporation and BLM is also a participant and the ANSEP program, which is Alaska Native Science and Engineering Program, which is a program that takes students straight from high school or in school depending on which part of the program you're in, and encourages them to be in the sciences or the engineering part of education.

 Jim Menard, who is the area manager, usually signs up and gets an intern for that program and that intern goes around and helps out at all of our different projects so they can learn about salmon management, about the region. A lot of times it is a local hire or local person from the region. I think last year it was somebody from Gambell or Savoonga. So he takes those people on as interns and then brings them to all the various projects.

 So this is a big project for a lot of cooperators and I apologize if I've missed anyone or over or understated their involvement because it is just a whole big cooperative endeavor that can't actually be done without all these pieces together.

It was started in 2010 as this idea of trying to get at Unalakleet River drainage-wide escapement. For years there had been a tower on the North River, but the tower comes with its own issues; high water, you can't count, funding issues. It wasn't always a viable option. Just a lot of different things with having a tower that made our estimates of Unalakleet River drainage problematic.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

We also did telemetry studies on Unalakleet River and you can see -- the way to look at this is everywhere there's actually a total escapement before 2010, so there's only three values in this Table 1, is when we attempted telemetry studies. So what we did was tag fish at the mouth of the river and then estimate how many went up the North River and how many went up the Unalakleet. I won't go into all of the math behind it. Half I understand, half I don't anyway. But you get an estimate of what would go up the mainstem of the Unalakleet. We added those together and you get that 11,000.

22 23 24

25

26

27

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

So we did that for four years. that in '97, '98 and then again to verify it in 2009 and '10. Of course, remember I said that the weir started in 2010, so we do have duplicate values. We have telemetry work from 2010, but we also have a weir estimate from 2010.

2.8 29 30

The telemetry estimate we thought we were good. The telemetry estimates were all really, really close in the first three years of it, '97, '98 and 2009. It even says it in here, if you look at subnote -- I think it's E. I got new contacts and I'm still trying to figure them out. But they're all between 30 and 40 percent we're saying of the run is North River and the other portion of that run goes up That's how we figure those out. the mainstem.

38 39 40

41

42 43

44 45

46 47

Well, in 2010, that number switched between 50 and 55 percent, so that's quite a big difference from this very consistent number that we had in the previous studies. So we started thinking, well, what happens if we're only doing a study once every 10 years, twice every 10 years. Maybe there's a lot more variability in those numbers than we can actually pick up in a two-year study. Telemetry studies are pretty labor intensive.

So that was one of the reasons why we're thinking, hey, maybe a weir would be good and we get a better idea of what's going on on the Unalakleet and mainstem. So we put in a proposal for funding. OSM funded it. It's been operating. It's a more stable platform than a tower. A tower, as I said, you can have a lot of issues with high water, turbid water.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

1 2

3

4 5

6

A weir tends to be just beefier and the Unalakleet Weir is very beefy. It's called a floating weir or a resistance board weir. A lot of the weirs that we have in smaller rivers, particularly around here, are just picket weirs. One person can go and carry a bunch of pickets and put a weir in. This is a labor of love. It probably takes, I don't know, five to ten people about a week to put it in and it sits in there from mid June to mid August.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

As you can see from the schematic, it It floats in the river. The PVC that's floats. creating the blockage floats in the river and the water passes over it going this way and you've got this end that sticks up and that's what you're seeing in these pictures. You're seeing this very end of this floating weir and imagining you're seeing down into the flow of There's about 10 feet worth of picket going down to the bottom. Does that make sense?

2.8 29 30

(No comments)

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

MS. BELL: Probably not. And because it's a community effort and it's a big river and there's a lot of access to it, we've endeavored to go into the community to try and understand what the needs are, how we can alter this weir, how we can make it so that it satisfies some sort of fishery management, but also doesn't impinge on the resource access that is required on that river. I guess there's a hunt that happens later on in the season.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46 47

For our purposes we monitor chinook, is the main reason for this, but we also then get numbers for pink and chum. Then we typically pull it out well before the coho salmon run is ever fully enumerated. So any numbers that you see for coho salmon here based on the weir definitely just beginning of the run estimates.

We started in 2010. Typically when you pass fish through a weir you have an opening obviously to allow fish to come through and you want to put that in the deepest part of the river generally because that's where most of the fish -- there's fish milling, but when fish want to go through the weir they're generally going to go through the deepest part.

So we had one, it's called a fish trap, and you can pass salmon through. You open the weir, you pass salmon through that trap. We had one in the heaviest part of the river as you can see in Figure 2. We had one trap in 2010 and what was happening was if the river got too big, it was dangerous to be out there or you couldn't see anything because the water was too deep.

2.8

I believe this was in 2014 that we actually amended this, but you can see in the 2016 picture we ended up putting two traps on there. That second trap is much closer to shore, so it's more easily accessible. The water is a bit shallower and we can count a lot longer and a lot more than we could when we just had one trap in the middle of the river.

When the weir is open, every hour somebody goes out there and checks. If there are fish hanging out, they keep that weir open until no fish are passing and they keep track of them on tally counters, just little thumb counters. It's open every hour and it operates 24 hours a day.

As long as it can be open and it's physically safe for somebody to be out there, then we open it. The crew on the ground makes the determination of whether it's either too dangerous to be on it or the water is just too turbid and you couldn't see fish passing through anyway.

The other thing that was, as I mentioned, an issue was the idea of maintaining access for those people that wanted to use the river. In a typical picket weir, what we have is just a bunch of like gates if you will and they're all rock-bagged in so there's no leaks, but then you move those gates and somebody with a boat can come through or you take your boat on land and portage it around the weir. So it's never a workable solution particularly if you're on a big river like this.

So we made a boat gate that was accessible to people -- it specifically started as people that were in jet boats had the easiest time of going over this because, obviously, the jet boat didn't have to worry about propping the weir. We didn't have to worry about that.

You can see in Figures 4 and 5 these are just a couple examples, again from 2010, of people navigating that. Jet boats had a bit easier time of it simply because they're jet boats, but these people are basically pulling up their motors and then pulling on a rope across the weir.

In talking with people, in talking with residents and boat owners, we have altered that and that has been one of those things that gets altered almost every year. We've tried to beef up that boat gate and make it so that anyone going over it can go over it relatively easy. I'm not saying that it's completely perfect, but I think we have come a long way.

2.8

In 2016 -- again, these are all things that have happened in the last -- the picture is in 2016, but the boat gate was adjusted, I believe, in '14 and '15. You can see that the boat gate is not well marked. Unfortunately with 2016 there was tons of pink salmon, so this weir right now, these pictures that you're seeing, are actually when the pink salmon are doing it in or compromising our weir.

What happens is they go across the weir or they go through the weir, they get to the other side and there's a building of reds. They're kicking up a whole bunch of gravel and that gravel is coming back and landing on the pickets of the weir and it's sinking the weir. So somebody has to physically go out there and shovel it off and move it off the weir. So there was, I think, what, 1.5 million pinks. So it was just a phenomenal amount of pinks up there that they hadn't seen. What these pictures are represent also a bunch of pinks in there.

MR. OYOUMICK: They came all at once?

MS. BELL: They did. They literally did. They were like, well, we're not here, now we're here. So that's why it doesn't really look like it's

above the water, but typically that boat gate now is delineated by those cones. There is still a pool there. There is a bell there so that if you do find yourself in a pinch or a bind, one of the crew can come out.

This is probably taken in early June, so the light system is not set up yet, but there are lights on this system as soon as it's necessary. They count via light, so they actually have battery hook-ups for lights and then this boat gate is also highlighted with lights.

 Again these are all things that we've tried to improve upon and we're continuing to improve upon as we think about it. We have a fantastic technician that -- give him a problem and he's dynamite at trying to solve it.

The ultimate goal for us as Fish and Game is to establish escapement goals, which you may or may not be familiar with. It's generally how we manage fisheries as whether we've met an escapement goal in an individual river. We also do it with harvest statistics in the absence of escapement goals.

2.8

 Ultimately what we'd like to do is be able to use this weir data in conjunction with the tower still running. We'd like to use those two sets of data to establish individual escapement goals or drainage-wide escapement goal. It would depend on the quality of the data. In order to do that you need at minimum 10 years of data. But I've also seen us go through the process with minimum 10 years of data and they're like it's just not enough good data because not every year is a solid count, right.

You have -- like you can see here or last year, you know, the weir went out the 21st of July. It was submerged and then it stayed submerged until like the beginning of September. So we stopped counting the 21st of July, so we don't consider that a complete year, so we would not use that in any kind of analysis. So you want good data for a minimum of 10 years and then we'll start looking at it in terms of how can we use that to set escapement goals and to help manage the fisheries.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Leland.

MR. OYOUMICK: We just don't know why we need a weir, but I guess we're finding out. Some people don't like it. Some do. It puts people to work, bottom line.

MS. BELL: Right. I think that's definitely important because there are a lot of locals that are working between the tower and the weir. We have a lot of cooperation between those two projects.

The main objective is to figure out

what the

escapement is to the whole system so that we can better manage. We can open up subsistence, we can open up commercial, we can open any fishery, but as long as we are missing that large component of what actually goes up the Unalakleet River Weir, we are kind of -- I don't want to say managing blind, but we're missing a key piece of information.

So that weir is providing that information. Hopefully once we get everything ironed out it will provide information consistently with few issues like miscounts and stuff like that.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: It looks like Ted has a question.

MR. KATCHEAK: Mr. Chair. Jennifer. It seems to me after listening to what you said about the weir and how those people have to shovel off the rocks and gravel off the weir, it seems to me that where the weir is located is where they start to spawn and that's why my thought is that's why you keep working on the weir because it's where spawning starts or where the spawning ends in the lower part of the river.

MS. BELL: Mr. Chair. That's a valid point. What I would say is typically we do not see that many pink salmon, so we don't know what the effect of -- they've never seen that in -- of course, not the huge long history of this weir. It's only been operating since 2010, but we haven't seen that many pink salmon.

Based on the telemetry work that was done, with radio telemetry they also tracked chinook to their spawning grounds. They can do that with

receivers in the rivers. They also fly the river, so 1 2 they have this idea of the final -- and actually I'm remiss because I should have put that map in here. You 3 can see that the weir is well below any chinook 4 5 spawning grounds. That was one of the reasons. 6 7 You need a particular set up, which is why these weirs don't exist everywhere. You need a 8 particular set up for this weir to work and to be 9 functioning and for you to be able to put it in with 10 normal people. You don't need pile drivers and all 11 that stuff. So there's a particular set of criteria. 12 This patch on the Unalakleet River met that criteria 13 and was also well below the spawning sites of chinook 14 15 salmon, which was really the concern. 16 17 I'm sorry I can't speak to how far down pinks spawn other than this -- they've never had to 18 maintain this weir this hardcore. But I understand 19 2.0 what you're saying, that there could be a pink spawning area in the area around the weir. 21 22 MR. OYOUMICK: How far up is the 23 24 farthest spawning area for those kings, do you know? 25 MS. BELL: That is an excellent 26 question and I do not know and now I'm like just 27 kicking myself because I don't have that map. No. 2.8 think it's.... 29 30 31 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Can you share that 32 with him after? 33 MS. BELL: 34 Yeah, absolutely. 35 36 CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're through tomorrow 37 too. 38 39 MS. BELL: Okay. I can send it to..... 40

MS. DEATHERAGE: Me.

42 43

41

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Send it to Karen.

44 45

MS. BELL: How about I just print it

46 and bring it. I can do that, right?

47 48

CHAIRMAN GREEN: We'd appreciate that.

MS. BELL: You always have your brilliant ideas after, right? But, yeah, it's a distance. It's definitely a distance to the spawning area for the kings. It's not just like, you know, around the bend.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Are you tagging them, is that what you're doing? Oh, sorry.

MR. OYOUMICK: There's some areas that have creeks that go all the way to the hills. I just wondered about one area. There's one area called Salyuk (ph). People -- local -- they call them locals, but they call it Monumentio (ph). In that area it's called Salyuk. There's a slough that goes all the way to the hills there, so I was just curious about that.

2.0

MS. BELL: Yeah, like I said, the map that I will get you is the telemetry map, so they have their final destinations where they last detected those tags of the chinook salmon that they did in, I believe, '97, '98 and 2009. That's how they decided where the weir was going to go to be well enough below that spawning area to not impact the spawning of chinook salmon. But I'm not familiar with what you're talking about, but that's my lack of knowledge, not anything else.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think we have a question from Brandon for you, Jennifer.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thanks, Jennifer, for presenting. I just had a couple questions. The second one I'll wait until everybody else -- if they have any other questions about the weir.

Looking at the pictures here, just kind of seeing there's -- especially on the Unalakleet River it seems to be plagued with this issue, that issue. Wouldn't a better, more viable option be to go to sonar?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ OYOUMICK: They want to get their hands on the fish.

MS. BELL: Well, it's a valid point and what Leland said is also true. Sonar also requires you to apportion, which means that you have to have

somebody up there seining, somebody basically saying, okay, if we count this many fish through the sonar, what is the proportion of that. Sonar also has its needs to be able to be effective in a river. I think for the options that were available at the time, sonar was not considered an option because of the shape of the river. I could get into the trees on this, but sonar has its own special needs as well and I understand that. Then there's also the apportionment.

One of the things we have been successful at it's much easier on a weir to do than it is even on a tower. A tower has the same idea. In order to grab fish, you have to go out and seine fish. Where the weir, they're coming to you essentially. So we've been able to get a lot of chinook samples that have otherwise not been achieved at even the North River tower through seining just because seining is really hard to do on bit rivers.

So, yeah, like in Table 4 that's all data that's been collected from the weir.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody else got a

 question.

MR. SEETOT: I do have a question. You mentioned about cleaning up the gravel from the weir. I know there are many species that go through the river systems in any given area. Do the different salmon species spawn in certain areas, therefore creating your weir gravel buildup?

Because I do know in some places traveling in the out-country back home where the creeks are just only a couple inches deep and not so wide that I've seen pink salmon way up that I wouldn't even believe that they would also see trout that are 50, 60 miles from the river mouth which they enter and going to another river system.

 My main question was spawning areas. Do the pink salmon spawn here, then the chum, then the coho, then the chinook, something like that, where it would create your weir problem?

The other one is are there many beavers that go through the weir that would damage your

protective system?

 MS. BELL: Thank you. Good questions. Mr. Chair. Some do actually. Different species spawn in different conditions. I think pinks are any port in a storm, right. Oh, look, there's some gravel, I'm going to spawn here. Where kings are much more particular. They like a fast water, bigger rocks is what I've been told. I think we're pretty sure we understand why they spawn where they spawn, but they're not spawning where we think they spawn sometimes. So I think we can speak in generalities about where they spawn, but they do require -- they do enjoy different characteristics.

And, yes, part of the gravel on the weir is because of that movement of the pinks. It's also -- perhaps they're not necessarily spawning five feet in front of the weir, but there's a million pinks in that river, right, so even the motion of being in that water and being close to that substrate and the act of swimming too is also going to blow sediment back onto the weir.

2.8

As far as beavers, I can't speak to the beaver activity there, but I do know that the way the floating weir is built it's such that fish can go downriver. So it's easy to clean. Like you've got carcasses, pink carcasses. It's real easy to clean them off because you kind of just submerge a bit of weir while you're standing there and then this water rushes through.

So in terms of moving downriver, I think beavers would have absolutely no trouble. Again I don't have any specific experience on this river, but we have a video weir at Glacial Lake, which is just off the Sinuk River, and you actually saw beavers going through the opening part of the weir, like they had figured it out that there's an open spot. They'll go through it. You know, they would stop, wave at the camera, and then just keep going. So they actually could navigate. I was kind of impressed that they navigated the weir. We saw otters, beavers, everything went through there. Ducks went through these openings.

MR. SEETOT: Can they put gates on them so the beaver can stop migrating west?

Page 74 (Laughter) 1 2 3 MS. BELL: I'll work on that for you. 4 How about that? What do you want me to say there? 5 6 (Laughter) 7 8 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Elmer. Did 9 you have anything additional. 10 11 (No comments) 12 13 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody else. 14 15 Go ahead, Brandon. 16 17 MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Earlier I brought up salmon flushing. I made kind of a 18 teeny attempt to explain it, but if you could explain 19 20 that in more detail way better than I could probably. 21 Salmon flushing? 22 MS. BELL: 23 24 MR. AHMASUK: Salmon flushing where they enter the river, they say, hey, this isn't where I 25 want to be, they go out, go to another river. 26 27 MS. BELL: Oh, oh, just like straying. 2.8 29 30 MR. AHMASUK: Yeah. 31 MS. BELL: Okay. So yeah. 32 33 salmon straying is this idea that they are going into a river -- I guess there's two different ways to look at 34 Salmon are going into a river and they were 35 36 never meant to be there, so they leave and they go find the river that they're supposed to be in. That's not 37 considered straying, right, that's just, hey, we're 38 checking it out. 39 40 41 MR. OYOUMICK: Is that why some of 42 those kings show up really red? 43 MS. BELL: I don't know about that. 44 45 It's hard to say and speak in particulars and specifics. This idea that salmon do check out other 46 47 streams, we know this. What would be the concern with a project is if that project is low in the river, then 48 a salmon -- particularly a weir, right. It wouldn't 49 50

happen with a tower but a weir as a barrier.

1 2 3

So if that salmon is low in the river and it's still like, well, I'm not really sure if I need to be in this river, you pass it through the weir, it doesn't come back out alive, right. Very rarely unless there's a force of nature that takes that weir out. That fish is now in that river, which would be considered straying, right, because that fish did not belong in that river. It gets caught up in that weir.

3/6/2017

Most of our projects, particularly if they're a weir, are above areas where you would expect the fish to come in and move out. Kwiniuk River is very low in the watershed. In fact, the project on Kwiniuk River is a tower. It's tidally influenced. That's how low it is in the watershed. There are probably fish that are counted up. Those fish are also counted down and there are probably fish that go in there, sniff around, say, hey, this isn't my river and they leave. But you very rarely will have a weir low enough in the watershed where a fish would get trapped behind it. That wouldn't necessarily be in that system.

Does that make sense?

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Go ahead, Brandon.

MR. AHMASUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Could you give us some examples of like how far the salmon has gone off course? Like it entered the river and then it was recorded in a different river?

MS. BELL: As luck could have it, I could. I can speak to that in Nome River or in Nome Subdistrict. We just did a tagging study, an acoustic tagging study, and all of our acoustic receivers were well below the projects in Eldorado, Nome and Snake, but we did have fish go in, hit those receivers, and we're talking probably, I don't know, I would say one to two miles up the river. I'm not very good with miles, so that might be overstating it.

We had fish go up, hit the receivers in the Nome River and then they're over in the Eldorado River and they actually stay -- they hit those receivers and they're never detected again, which would say that they actually stayed in the Eldorado River.

So something like that you might have. These are very small rivers, so you might expect the straying or the incidences of mixing between rivers would be a little bit higher.

This is maybe my own opinion, which I probably shouldn't offer here, but I'm thinking that once you're 25 miles up the Sinuk you've committed to the Sinuk and you're not saying, wow, I made a mistake here. But, you know, five or six miles up the Nome or the Snake River, much smaller rivers, you might get up that far before you're like, well, this isn't really what I was looking for. Within a subdistrict we have evidence of fish going in one river and then ending up in another river.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Elmer.

MR. SEETOT: The chinook from Norton Sound or Unalakleet River and the ones from Kuzitrin River are they pretty much in the same area while they're growing up from small fish to big fish or pretty hard to answer that?

2.8

 MS. BELL: I can't speak to that. I don't know. They're still trying to do a lot of work in the ocean and figure out where fish have originated genetically but I can't tell you where that happens or how that happens.

MR. SEETOT: I would assume with the bycatch that they get around Bering Sea for these salmon products and they talk about a lot of salmon bycatch that they just throw overboard I would assume that they would have an inkling of where these salmon are coming from if they do have the ID stuff on them, like sensors or something right within the fish.

MS. BELL: Sure. And they do for some. I know that Fort Yukon releases tagged chinook salmon and we have -- again, this is beyond the scope of my -- so it's very limited experience. But they have released tagged chum salmon and we have recaptured tagged chum salmon and they are coded wire tagged. But we don't take Unalakleet smolt at this point. We don't tag any of the other stocks.

So in terms of bycatch what they're trying to do is resolve genetics and they're getting

better at it. It's just genetics isn't as bulletproof as everybody would like it to be at this moment. So they've done a lot of work with chum and it's hard to distinguish chum all up and down the west coast of Alaska. There's just so many chum stocks it's hard to differentiate. They can't find the key differenture. That's not even a word, but the key....

CHAIRMAN GREEN: It works.

(Laughter)

MS. BELL: Yeah, the key thing that differentiates all those stocks. They're working really hard at it for chinook. They're just not there yet. It will eventually come. It's just not there yet.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anybody else.

(No comments)

2.8

CHAIRMAN GREEN: You know, it's interesting about the genetics. It's been going on since the '90s. Lisa and Jim Seeb did one and all the big uproar with the chum intercept, Area M. That's what generated it. They weren't able to specify which river the salmon came out of. They were trying to get it to the specific rivers even with this western -- what's that called again? Western....

MS. BELL: WASSIP.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: WASSIP. They tried to do it again and it didn't really define a river that these salmon were hatched in and returning to.

One of the other things, the aspect of straying, which was not a real opinion of Fish and Game I think in the '90s, it became so after that, was that there's been so much straying that you can't be definite where a run comes from.

You know, you see samples taken that show the Noatak, the Pilgrim River. You know, they're just sporadic. I guess the thought is that the salmon runs are -- you know, the 10,000 years they're not settled down where they really want to go sometimes. So straying is a real deal and it causes a lot of problems

```
in that genetic study.
 1
 2
                     Anyway, if there's nobody else with any
 3
 4
     other questions, I would say thank you very much for
 5
     coming. It's been a while since I've seen anybody from
 6
     the ADF&G Fisheries Department. I really appreciate
 7
     that you're here.
 8
 9
                     MS. BELL:
                                Thank you for having me.
10
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Pass it on.
11
12
13
                     MS. BELL: And I will get you that map.
14
     How long are you guys on for today?
15
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: There's a public
16
     hearing at 5:30 to 7:30, so we'll be here.
17
                                                  Somebody
     will be here if you want to drop it off then. It's up
18
     to you. But we'll be here tomorrow morning too also.
19
20
21
                     MS. BELL:
                                Okay.
                                       I'll go back and
22
     print it off tonight and bring it back.
23
2.4
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you very much,
25
     Jennifer.
26
27
                     MS. BELL:
                                Thank you.
2.8
29
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think we've got Fish
30
     and Game pretty well aired out, so let's move on to the
     Federal agencies. I'd entertain Mr. Tom Sparks to the
31
     table if he was so.....
32
33
34
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                      Anything but moose
35
     in....
36
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're not talking
37
     about moose. We're just talking about BLM at this
38
     point, folks. Come on up, young man.
39
40
41
                     MR. SPARKS:
                                  Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     notice that introduction of the young man. He didn't
42
     come with me, so we'll have to work on that a little
43
     bit.
44
45
                     (Laughter)
46
47
```

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

48

49 50

> Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

to work with you guys again. I see a couple new

MR. SPARKS: Appreciate the opportunity

members, so congratulations to Mr. Ahmasuk and Mr. Oyoumick. Good to see you on board.

Just got back from Unit 23, the meeting in Kotzebue. Just a few things there I wanted to mention. There was talk there about the two RACs working a little closer together, so I wanted to pass that on to you. The board there wanted to extend that closure in 23 for non-Federally qualified subsistence user for one more year. Made some alignments with some of the moose seasons. Wanted to close the Federal moose season there in 23 for non-Federally qualified users.

There was also discussion about bears and more liberalization there and some of the beaver issues. So there's a lot of I think continuity between the two regions, so I look forward to getting a little more involved there.

2.8

With BLM we've got some personnel changes too like the State. Maybe not as drastic, but as many of you know we have a new Administration and we're on a Federal hiring freeze currently, so we're not sure how long that's going to impact our agency, but we do have a new field manager. Our last one, Alan Bittner, was replaced by Bonnie Million. She just got on board this week actually, arrived in Anchorage. I'm still the Associate Field Manager for Anchorage.

Our district is really large. It goes all the way to Kivalina to the north and it goes south all the way down through the Bristol Bay and the Kuskokwim and Yukon. So it's a really large area.

 I don't have anything prepared in writing. My computer this morning Word is getting updated, so I couldn't access it, but I know last year I gave a small little bullet points. About the same things going on. No new guides. We're still working on the Bering Sea Western Interior Land Use Plan, which is Unalakleet south, so that's ongoing. We'll be going out for public review on that again with alternatives development is still happening.

Our local biologist has managed to stay with us since fall. Brian Ubelaker, who you met last meeting, has done real well. He's interacted with the Department of Fish and Game and done some survey work

already down in the Unalakleet area and was doing some muskox work if the weather improves.

Other than that, BLM is still involved in various permitting activities throughout the Peninsula and to the north as well. Probably the big things you guys may hear on the radio and whatnot is we are the lead agency for the Ambler Road. Our Central Yukon Field Office will be doing that at Fairbanks, but there's a portion of that proposed road that crosses Anchorage Field Office as well. So I would anticipate we'll hear more about that project as time moves on.

So that's really about all I had. I'd be willing to answer any questions. I did hear about some fisheries projects that involved the one in Unalakleet. I also wanted to mention the Iglutalik one, which is ongoing. We still are doing some work with the USGS out of Teller and Brevig with the red salmon runs there.

With that I'd be happy to answer any questions that any members may have.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Elmer.

2.8

MR. SEETOT: Is BLM still in charge of issuing permits for reindeer grazing in certain parts of Seward Peninsula or are you in charge of the whole Seward Peninsula as a whole?

MR. SPARKS: We have a cooperative management agreement with the State of Alaska and the National Park Service and BLM. So there's 15 reindeer grazing permits and we have roughly -- it's about a third, a third, a third. Basically that agreement allowed the agencies to work together. So there's a lead agency so one reindeer herder didn't have to get a permit from the State and one from the Park Service and one from BLM.

In short, the answer is yes, Elmer.

MR. SEETOT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SPARKS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Anyone else have a

49 question of Tom.

```
(No comments)
 1
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Hearing none.
 4
     you very much, young man.
 5
 6
                     (Laughter)
 7
 8
                     MR. SPARKS:
                                  Thank you very much.
 9
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN:
                                     At this time I'd ask
10
     if Officer -- Officer.
11
12
13
                     (Laughter)
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: I hate that acronym.
     It just never comes out of my mouth right. Mr. McKee.
16
17
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
                     MR. MCKEE:
     the record, my name is Chris McKee. I'm the Wildlife
19
2.0
     Division Chief at the Office of Subsistence Management.
     I'm just here to give you guys a quick update on some
21
     staffing and a few other issues.
22
23
                     We did lose Amee Howard, who was our
24
     policy coordinator at OSM. She left to take the
25
     position as the Congressional and State Liaison with
26
     External Affairs. She's still in the Regional Office,
27
     but she's no longer with OSM.
2.8
29
30
                     Of course you've heard all about the
     hiring freeze already and the position of this
31
     coordinator will only be allowed to be filled by non-
32
33
     competitive merit reassignment or lateral hire within
     the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
34
                                         So I can't really
35
     give you an estimate on when that position will be
36
     filled.
              OSM, like all the other Federal agencies, is
     kind of limited in how they can bring on new personnel
37
     into OSM because of that hiring freeze. Hopefully it
38
     will be sooner than later, but I can't give you any
39
     definite timeframe on when we might get her
40
41
     replacement.
42
43
                     Hilary Krieger was hired as our new
     administrative assistant at OSM. She came to us from
44
45
     the Social Security Administration in Anchorage and she
```

135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

Computer Matrix, LLC

46

47

48

49 50

> Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

We have a tremendous amount of administrative work that

administrative work for just about everybody in OSM.

does have a biological background as well.

housed in the Wildlife Division, but she does

goes on on a daily basis, so we've finally become fully staffed in the Administrative Department, so hopefully that work will come out a lot more seamlessly and a lot more quickly.

3/6/2017

Caron McKee was hired as our new subsistence outreach coordinator. Prior to coming to OSM she worked at the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management as a technical writer/editor. She has also worked for BLM and also used to work at the Forest Service in Washington, D.C. She also has a bachelor's degree in Natural Resource Management from Colorado State University.

Tom Doolittle is our new Deputy Assistant Regional Director. He comes to OSM from his position as supervisory natural resource specialist for the U.S. Forest Service in Southeast, in Prince of Wales Island. Prior to that he worked for a number of years as the Deputy Refuge Manager at Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge out of Bethel, so he's very familiar with Alaska issues and subsistence in particular.

2.8

I actually just saw him in the office on last Friday, so he's here a little bit earlier than we thought, but we're really happy to have him on board. It's a really, really important position at OSM.

So that's it for personnel issues. Just to bring up a couple things just to give you guys an update. One is the Nonrural Determination Policy. I know we've brought that before this Council at your previous meeting. Following input from the Councils, the public, tribes and ANCSA corporations, the Federal Subsistence Board formally adopted its Nonrural Determination Policy at its January 2017 regulatory meeting in Anchorage.

The policy now provides guidance for submission of proposals to change communities to rural or nonrural status. It also provides a decision-making process for those proposals and a timeline to accomplish assessment of proposals.

The next call for proposals to change the rural or nonrural status of communities will be announced with the call for Federal fisheries proposals

in January of 2018.

A little bit more on the Memorandum of Understanding between the Federal Subsistence Board and the State of Alaska. There are a few new developments on the revision of this MOU. As you might recall, the Draft MOU was presented to the Councils during the fall 2016 meeting cycle. The Councils were provided opportunity for input at that time. Those comments have been recorded and additionally we've also received comments from the State of Alaska and from the various Fish and Game Advisory Committees.

The working group, consisting of State and Federal employees, are working on the revisions to the Memorandum of Understanding will be meeting to discuss incorporating these comments and once these comments are integrated a new revision of the Draft MOU will be presented that incorporates comments from all the interested stakeholders and works out language agreements between the State and Federal participants. That revision will be presented to the Board for its approval.

2.8

The Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program 2018 call for funding proposals opened in November of 2016 and closed on February 20th of this year. The next step in the process is proposal review and ranking by the Technical Review Committee. That will occur sometime between late February and May. The Regional Advisory Councils will receive information about the ranked proposals during the fall 2017 meeting cycle.

 At that time OSM will be requesting Council comments on the ranked proposals. The Interagency Staff Committee will also provide comments on the ranked proposals following the fall Council meetings, fall of this year. The rankings of the Technical Review Committee, the Regional Advisory Council comments and the ISC comments will be forwarded to the Federal Subsistence Board in January 2018 for their consideration and funding recommendations.

If you have any more detailed questions about the FRMP process, you're welcome to contact Steward Cogswell, who is the Fisheries Division Chief at OSM or Jennifer Hardin, who is the Anthropology Chief at OSM as well.

1 CHAIRMAN GREEN: Come on up. You're 2 public comment, correct?

MRS. KATCHEAK: Yeah, public comment.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. You're on your

own.

7 0

Thank you.

MRS. KATCHEAK: I'm awful puzzled about when they always say that the Federal Subsistence Board and the State of Alaska Subsistence Board choose their members. I'm puzzled at how many of those people that are sitting on that board from the Federal Subsistence Board and from the State Subsistence Board are subsistence people.

2.0

2.8

You know, they form their little board and they call it subsistence, but it's us that's doing the subsistence. So I'd like to see what are the numbers. Who are they representing? Themself, with their little tape recorders and reports, or are they representing us, you know?

I'd like to see how many Alaska Natives and subsistence people are on those boards and why aren't we qualified when we are the ones they're doing the study on.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MOU}}$$ That's my question about this MOU that's gone forward.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I can tell you about the -- you want to make comment? Come on up. Somebody to answer some of your questions.

MR. MCKEE: Again, this is Chris McKee. I don't want to speak too much about the State Board of Game, but I can tell you that the Federal Subsistence Board has two public members. Both of them are subsistence users and both Alaska Native. The new Chair of the Federal Subsistence Board, Anthony Christianson, is also a subsistence user and also an Alaska Native. So three of the members of the Federal Subsistence Board are subsistence users and Alaska Natives. The other members of the Board are the head of the various Federal land management agencies in the state.

I do know that the State Board of Game also has a variety of members, several of which are rural subsistence users. There are some that are nonrural members, but the rural subsistence users are also represented on the State Board of Game as well.

Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you.

Marie.

MRS. KATCHEAK: Okay. Through the Chair. You're telling me there was subsistence members. You have three. Look at the state of Alaska. How many of us are subsistence people. How did you pick those three people? Why weren't we all let known if we wanted somebody from our region on that Board? How come you didn't ask us?

2.4

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I was going to make a comment here. The government has their agencies that are on that panel, the Federal Subsistence Board. Remember when Tim Towarak was the Chair for the Federal Subsistence?

MRS. KATCHEAK: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Charlie Brower is on there as a public member from up north and then there's one new lady and I don't know why I can't remember her name.

MR. MCKEE: Rhonda Pitka.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: She's from -- is it Beaver, Fort Yukon, Beaver, okay, one of the two. So the Federal Subsistence Board has a makeup that has the feel that they need to have people on there that are subsistence users. The State Board of Fish or the State Board of Game, those are chosen by the current Administration. If there's a seat open, what they do is they have names submitted to the governor's office and then his administration waves the wand and puts people in those seats.

I was actually one of those people at one point in time for the Board of Fish back in '94. So it's a long process to get confirmed. Whether you

get to the table or not, it's not an easy task for people to go through.

I think Karen has something to add to that. That was just the best I could give you at this point.

MRS. KATCHEAK: I'm not satisfied.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Well, we need to -- if we're going to do that, then we need to be talking to the current Administration about letter writing and that would be the way to do it.

What I'm trying to do is I always try to keep the tone down here because we want to respect everybody.

MRS. KATCHEAK: I'm not disrespecting

20 anybody.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: I didn't -- I

wasn't....

2.8

MRS. KATCHEAK: The question is, if you want to call them Subsistence Board, why aren't they subsistence people. Get the point. These people are trying to help us, sure, but let's see -- I mean they get the information from us and then they pass it on. We don't need no second man telling us. We can stand up and say what we need. That's my point.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: And I understand your point, Marie. Thank you for your comment.

I'd like to have -- Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a couple other points about it. For the Alaska Board of Game and the Alaska Board of Fish you are correct in that they are nominated to the Governor's Office who makes those selections, but those selections must be confirmed by the Legislature before they can actually sit on either Board. To my knowledge there are no dedicated seats on the Alaska Board of Game for any type of user. They are supposed to represent the various value systems of Alaska and that's left up to debate for whoever is looking at it.

For the Federal Subsistence Board it actually used to be just the five agencies. The BLM, the U.S. Forest Service, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the National Park Service. Those were the only representatives on the Board plus a Chair who was a Federal subsistence user. It is important to have those agencies serve on that Board because any kind of regulation that comes through for Federal lands must be in compliance with those agencies and what their purposes are. So that's a good thing.

But your concern about not having enough subsistence users on that Board was actually heard several years ago and two new public members representing subsistence were added to the Federal Subsistence Board.

2.0

 The other point is we have 10 Regional Advisory Councils throughout the state, including this one here, and the Board gives very high deference to the opinions and the positions of these Councils. It is very rare that the Board will vote against what the Councils have asked for. We actually collect data on that.

2.8

In essence, these Councils are driving our Federal Subsistence Board and their decisions. The Councils themselves are set up so that it's largely subsistence users, but several years ago they changed it to allow for sport hunting interest to be put on the Council. So now up to three members from the sport hunting interest can be on the Council. It doesn't have to be three. It doesn't have to be any, but up to three can be.

So that's how the system is set up right now. So really the power I think of this program is in the Regional Advisory Councils.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Karen. And I can attest to that by the idea that the Northwest and the Arctic Slope have dealt with caribou decline and from the Regional RACs to the Federal Board to saying, no, we're not going to allow hunting of caribou by non-qualified residents up there. They did listen to the RACs. Seward Peninsula RAC supports it because we use

the same resource of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd.

So there is a process. I know it can be frustrating. I've been part of this stuff since the early '90s and it can get to you once in a while. I didn't mean to sound like I was being disrespectful to you, Marie. I was just pointing out that we're just trying to have a good dialogue here and I didn't want anybody to walk away thinking they had gotten hit over the head.

That's why I was talking to Bill and mentioned to him we try to make sure it's fair and open for everybody. These folks that are on these staffs, they're just a little bit -- we're here and they're working for their staff for their respective departments.

Anyway, is there anything else you'd like to bring to us?

MRS. KATCHEAK: No. Thank you. But I'm still not satisfied. I'm never going to be satisfied because I'm a subsistence person. When I introduce myself, I'm a subsistence person. I don't say I'm anything else.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: You're just like me. We write the same thing down when we put our names on there.

MRS. KATCHEAK: It will always be. It will never change as long as I'm alive.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Subsistence. Never changes. Thank you very much for your comments and your interest to be here.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ that brings us to -- we already asked for comments on the phone. Do we have Interagency Staff Committee.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN GREEN: I think we're down to item number 12, future meeting dates. What have we got there, Karen, for timeframes? If we get this all out of the way, then tomorrow we can come in here and deal with those other two issues.

week.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. Anybody got any suggestions. We seem to be around March every year if I recall.

Brandon, do you got any -- I know you're part of the Kawerak organization.

MR. AHMASUK: Mr. Chair. I'd just maybe suggest staying away from that second, third week of March just because Iditarod is happening.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: We're just going to rent a bunch of arctic tents out here and we're going to have woodstoves in them and we're going to camp out and be here for the Iditarod.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Point well taken.

Anybody.

2.8

MR. KIRK: Mr. Chair. Ron Kirk. Yeah, as you can tell, I wanted to be there to attend this meeting in person, but like I told you yesterday I'm stuck here because of our annual potlatch which takes place in the first two weeks of March. The first week we went down to Kotlik last weekend for their cultural dance. We're always invited. This weekend we're inviting Kotlik to come here. Next year it's going to be reversed. The first weekend we're going to invite Kotlik to come here. The second weekend they're going to invite us to go down there. That's why I wouldn't be able to travel to attend the meetings again. That's my problem. That's my concern, Mr. Chair.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you, Ron. Understanding the timeframe, would you be able to do what you're doing today by attending from wherever you're at on the telephone if it was necessary?

MR. KIRK: That's affirmative, Mr. Chair. If it's necessary, I can do it.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Anybody else have any conflicts. Today is the 6th, so we've gone to the first week in the month to avoid Iditarod.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair. It will be a little different next year. It looks like the week we're meeting right now is -- well, it would be a few days before Iditarod. So we could still meet maybe March 5th and 6th. The week of February 26th is open, which ends on March 3rd or you could go really early and the week of February 5th. It's pretty open right now.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thanks. I'm in some other organizations, so I can't even tell you where I'm at. I'm just kind of wanting to get the feel from the other Council Members here if they have any suggestions.

Leland.

MR. OYOUMICK: Any time is good. I'm retired and can't work much, but I can do something like this.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Thank you. Well, I'd say 5th and 6th right now. How long do we have if we decided we were going to change something? We don't have. This is it, right? I just want to lay that out there.

 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You would be welcome to change that at the fall 2017 meeting if there was a conflict because at that time you would confirm your meeting dates for winter 2018.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN GREEN: Okay. Thank you for that, Karen. I think we could just put 5 and 6 down and see if it holds true by the time we have our next meeting to confirm the date. Sounds good to me. I'm the one that said it.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN GREEN: So now that we have

```
those future dates.
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                      Pardon me, Mr. Chair.
 4
     The location of the meeting. Thank you.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN:
                                      I don't have a problem
 7
     with it being in the Mini Convention Center if nobody
     else does.
 8
 9
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: You mean in Nome?
10
11
                                      In Nome, yeah. Our
12
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN:
13
     crystal ball doesn't tell us we can go anywhere else.
14
15
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: You could ask for it.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: We could ask for it,
     but we could do it at the next meeting, couldn't we?
18
19
20
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: (Nods affirmatively)
21
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: So the other thing I
22
     wanted to bring up is at 5:30 to 7:30 is that public
23
     hearing on 22A moose. Am I getting that straight?
24
     Those are my notes. People are all
25
     invited to be here, right? Karen says so. So I would
26
     encourage Council Members to be here because we are
27
     going to be talking about this tomorrow.
2.8
29
30
                     Maybe I'll see you here or maybe I
             5:30 to 7:30. Do you want to go around the
31
     won't.
32
     table for closing comments for today.
33
34
                     MR. KATCHEAK: Thank you, Mr. Chair,
35
     and the people that are here to hear us out.
36
     been very adamant or enthusiastic about being on the
     Council. It wasn't because I feel related to this
37
     organization or identify with this organization. I'm a
38
     subsistence hunter/fisher. I always like to see
39
     everyone that's trying to help us and I thank the
40
     Advisory Council that they believe in this
41
42
     organization.
43
44
                     Thank you.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN:
                                      Thank you, Ted.
47
     Charles.
48
                     MR. SACCHEUS: No comment.
49
50
```

```
Page 96
                     Ron.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. KIRK: Yeah, Mr. Chair. I thought
 4
     Bering Air was coming this evening or this morning to
 5
     catch that flight to be there in person, but they never
 6
     showed. So I can teleconference here again if I need
 7
     to tomorrow.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN:
                                      All right.
     appreciate your time on the phone today. I know how
10
     hard it is to sit there on the phone. I've done plenty
11
     of those.
12
13
                     MR. KIRK: Yeah, it's time consuming.
14
     I was getting phone calls from the village.
                                                   I had to
15
     meet some guys that were bringing some sheefish over
16
17
     and I tried to coordinate that at the same time.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN: Multitasking.
                                                      Thank
20
     you.
21
22
                     Karen.
23
24
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                       Thank you, Mr. Chair.
25
     I received an email from Tom Gray today and he'll be
     flying in this evening, so he will be at the meeting
26
     tomorrow here.
27
2.8
29
                     Thank you.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN GREEN:
                                       Thank you. Without
     further adieu I guess it's time for a recess.
32
33
                     (Off record)
34
35
36
                  (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

3/6/2017